

Stochastic Calculus

LECTURE 1

This course is about developing a theory of calculus which is applicable to continuous time stochastic processes, e.g. Brownian motion. Why do we need a special theory?
Brownian motion is not differentiable.

<u>Ordinary calculus</u>	<u>Stochastic calculus</u>
Integral	\int_0^t (stochastic) integral
Derivative	$\frac{d}{dt}$ (stochastic) derivative
ODEs	SDEs

Example: Suppose that we have a gambler who repeatedly tosses a fair coin, betting \$1 on getting a heads for each toss. Let

$$\xi_k = \begin{cases} +1, & \text{heads on } k\text{th toss} \\ -1, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

(ξ_k) iid Bernoulli(1/2).

Let $X_n = \sum_{k=1}^n \xi_k$ is the net winnings of the gambler. Note that (X_n) is a simple random walk on \mathbb{Z} , $X_0 = 0$ hence is a martingale (MG) w.r.t. $\mathcal{F}_n = \sigma(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)$. Suppose that at the n th toss, bet \$ H_n on heads. Then:

$$(H \cdot X)_n = \sum_{k=1}^n H_k \cdot (X_k - X_{k-1})$$

gives the net winnings after n tosses. Assume that (H_n) is a deterministic sequence.

Claim: $H \cdot X$ is an \mathcal{F}_n -MG.

- integrable ✓
- adapted ✓
- $E[(H \cdot X)_{n+1} - (H \cdot X)_n | \mathcal{F}_n] = H_{n+1} \cdot E[X_{n+1} - X_n | \mathcal{F}_n] = 0$

More generally, the same is true if we take H_{n+1} is \mathcal{F}_n -measurable [and integrable]. This is called a previsible process. As before, $H \cdot X$ gives the net winnings of the gambler. This is called a MG transform.

Goal for first part of the course:
extend this reasoning to define

$$(H \cdot X)_t = \int_0^t H_s dX_s \quad (*)$$

where H is previsible, X is a continuous MG (e.g. Brownian Motion). Cannot use the Lebesgue-Stieltjes integrals to define $(*)$ since this requires X to have finite variation and the only continuous martingales which have finite variation are constant.

Strategy to define the Ito integral:

$$\text{Set } (H \cdot X)_t = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor t/\epsilon \rfloor} H_{k\epsilon} (X_{(k+1)\epsilon} - X_{k\epsilon})$$

We need to be careful about the type of limit since X in general will be rough (not differentiable) like Brownian motion.

To get convergence, we need to take advantage of cancellations.

For example, if X is a Brownian motion and H is a deterministic, and continuous process.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{We have } E \left[\sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor t/\epsilon \rfloor} H_{k\epsilon} (X_{(k+1)\epsilon} - X_{k\epsilon}) \right]^2 &= \\ E \left[\sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor t/\epsilon \rfloor} H_{k\epsilon}^2 (X_{(k+1)\epsilon} - X_{k\epsilon})^2 \right. &+ \left. \sum_{j \neq k} H_{k\epsilon} H_{j\epsilon} (X_{(k+1)\epsilon} - X_{k\epsilon})(X_{(j+1)\epsilon} - X_{j\epsilon}) \right] \\ &= E \left[\sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor t/\epsilon \rfloor} H_{k\epsilon}^2 \cdot \epsilon \right] = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor t/\epsilon \rfloor} H_{k\epsilon}^2 \cdot \epsilon \rightarrow \int_0^t H_s^2 ds \text{ as } \epsilon \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Cancellations come from MG orthogonality and are what makes it possible to define the Ito integral.

Next, learn about properties of the integral:

- Stochastic analogue of the chain rule
 - Stochastic analogue of integration by parts
- Formulas look like those in regular calculus but with an extra term to reflect that X is rough (quadratic variation).

$$Y_t = \int_0^t H_s dX_s \iff dY_t = H_t dX_t$$

Ito's formula: how to write $d(f(Y_t))$ in terms of dY_t for $f \in C^2$.

Many applications, for example the Dubins-Schwarz theorem:

any continuous MG is a time-change of Brownian Motion.

Next look at stochastic differential equations (SDEs), i.e.

$$dX_t = b(t, X_t) dt + \sigma(t, X_t) dB_t$$

where b, σ are "nice" and B is a Brownian motion.

For $\sigma \equiv 0$, just an ODE. For $\sigma \neq 0$, corresponds to adding noise which depends on time and the state of the system.

Last part of the course: diffusion processes and how they are related to SDEs, and how they can be used to solve PDEs involving 2nd order elliptic equations (e.g. Δ).

Next time: preliminaries (cadlag processes, function of finite variation, integral against a function/process of finite variation).

Stochastic Calculus

LECTURE 2

Recall that $a: [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is cadlag if it is right-continuous and has left hand limits:

$$\lim_{y \rightarrow x^-} a(y) \text{ exists, } \lim_{y \rightarrow x^+} a(y) = a(x).$$

Let $a(x^-)$ be the left-hand limit,
 $\Delta a(x) = a(x) - a(x^-)$.

Suppose that a is non-decreasing, cadlag, $a(0) = 0$. Then there exists a unique Borel measure da on $[0, \infty)$ such that

$$da([s, t]) = a(t) - a(s) \quad \forall 0 \leq s < t.$$

For f measurable and integrable, then the Lebesgue-Stieltjes integral

$$(f \circ a)_t = \int_{[0, t]} f(s) da(s) \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

Then $f \circ a$ is a right-continuous function.

Moreover, if a is continuous, then $f \circ a$ is continuous and so we can write

$$\int_{(0, t]} f(s) da(s) = \int_0^t f(s) da(s)$$

Want to integrate against more functions.

Suppose that a^+, a^- are functions satisfying the same conditions as before and set $a = a^+ - a^-$.

Define $(f \circ a)_t = (f \circ a^+)_t - (f \circ a^-)_t$ for all f measurable so that both terms on the RHS are finite. This class of functions

(= differences of cadlag non-decreasing functions) coincide with the cadlag functions with finite variation.

Definition: Let $a: [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be cadlag.

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $t \geq 0$, let

$$\textcircled{*} v^n(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{2^n t - 1} |a((k+1) \cdot 2^{-n}) - a(k \cdot 2^{-n})|$$

Then the limit $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} v^n(t) = v(t)$ exists and is called the total variation of a on $[0, t]$.

If $v(t) < \infty$, then we say that a has finite variation on $[0, t]$. If a has finite variation on $[0, t]$ $\forall t \geq 0$, we say that a is a function of finite variation.

To see that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} v^n(t)$ exists, fix $t \geq 0$ and let

$$t_n^+ = 2^{-n} \lceil 2^n t \rceil \quad \text{so that } t_n^+ \geq t \geq t_n^- \quad \forall n$$

$$t_n^- = 2^{-n} (\lceil 2^n t \rceil - 1)$$

$$\text{and } v^n(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{2^n t_n^+ - 1} |a((k+1) \cdot 2^{-n}) - a(k \cdot 2^{-n})| + |a(t_n^+) - a(t_n^-)|$$

Δ -inequality \Rightarrow first term is non-decreasing in n . Cadlag property \Rightarrow second term $\rightarrow |a(t)|$

$\Rightarrow v^n(t)$ converges as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Lemma: Let a be a cadlag function of finite variation. Then v is also cadlag of finite variation with $\Delta v(t) = |a(t)|$ $\forall t \geq 0$ and v is non-decreasing. In particular, if a is continuous, then so is v .

Proof: Ex. Sheet 1.

Proposition A cadlag function can be written as a difference of two ^{non-decreasing} right-continuous functions if and only if it has finite variation.

Proof: Assume that $a = a^+ - a^-$ are cadlag, non-decreasing. NTS: a has finite variation.

Note, $|a(t) - a(s)| = (a^+(t) - a^+(s)) + (a^-(t) - a^-(s))$ $\forall 0 \leq s < t$

Plug this into $\textcircled{*}$ and use that the sum telescopes for monotone functions to get that

$$v^n(t) \leq (a^+(t_n^+) - a^+(0)) + (a^-(t_n^+) - a^-(0)).$$

Since a^{\pm} are right continuous,

$$\text{RHS} \rightarrow (a^+(t) - a^+(0)) + (a^-(t) - a^-(0))$$

$\Rightarrow a$ has finite variation.

Now the reverse direction. Assume that $v(t) < \infty \quad \forall t \geq 0$. Set $a^+ = \frac{1}{2}(v + a)$, $a^- = \frac{1}{2}(v - a)$.

Then $a = a^+ - a^-$ and a^{\pm} are cadlag since v, a are cadlag. NTS: a^{\pm} are non-decreasing. Fix

$0 \leq s < t$, define t_n^{\pm} as before and S_n^{\pm} analogously.

$$\text{Then: } a^+(t) - a^+(s) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2} (v^n(t) - v^n(s) + a(t_n^+) - a(s_n^+))$$

$$= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2} \left[\sum_{k=2^n s_n^+}^{2^n t_n^+ - 1} (|a((k+1) \cdot 2^{-n}) - a(k \cdot 2^{-n})|) + (a(t_n^+) - a(s_n^+)) \right] \geq 0.$$

Same argument works for a^- \square .

Random integrands: Now discuss integration against random functions of finite variation.

$(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}, \mathbb{P})$ filtered probability space.

Recall $X: [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is adapted to $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ if $X_t = X(\cdot, t)$ is \mathcal{F}_t -measurable $\forall t \geq 0$.

X is cadlag if $X(\omega, \cdot)$ is cadlag $\forall \omega \in \Omega$.

Definition: Given a cadlag, adapted process $A: \Omega \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, its total variation process $V: \Omega \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is pathwise by setting $V(\omega, \cdot)$ to be the total variation of $A(\omega, \cdot)$.

Lemma: if A is cadlag, adapted, and of finite variation $\Rightarrow V$ is cadlag, adapted, non-decreasing.

Proof: NTS V is adapted

$$\text{For } t \geq 0, t_n^- = 2^{-n} (\lceil 2^n t \rceil - 1)$$

$$V_t^n = \sum_{k=0}^{2^n t_n^- - 1} |A_{(k+1) \cdot 2^{-n}} - A_{k \cdot 2^{-n}}|$$

V_t^n is adapted $\forall n$ since $t_n^- \leq t$.

$$V_t = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} V_t^n + |a(t)| \Rightarrow V_t \text{ is } \mathcal{F}_t\text{-measurable.}$$

STOCHASTIC CALCULUS

LECTURE 3

Today: Look at class of functions so that the integral is adapted.

Recall from the intro: a discrete time process (H_n) is previsible wrt (\mathcal{F}_n) if H_{n+1} is \mathcal{F}_n -measurable $\forall n$.

Definition: The previsible σ -algebra \mathcal{P} on $\Omega \times (0, \infty)$ is the σ -algebra which is generated by sets of the form $E \times (s, t]$ where $E \in \mathcal{F}_s$, $s < t$. A process $H: \Omega \times (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is previsible if it is measurable wrt \mathcal{P} .

Examples: (1) $H(\omega, t) = Z(\omega) \cdot \mathbb{1}_{(t_1, t_2]}(t)$
 $t_1 < t_2$, $Z \in \mathcal{F}_{t_1}$ -meas.
 (2) $H(\omega, t) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} Z_k(\omega) \cdot \mathbb{1}_{(t_k, t_{k+1}]}(t)$ for $0 = t_0 < \dots < t_n$ and Z_k is \mathcal{F}_{t_k} -meas.
 "Simple processes"; will be important for the construction of the Ito integral.

Remarks: Simple processes are left-continuous and adapted. It turns out that \mathcal{P} is the smallest σ -algebra on $\Omega \times (0, \infty)$ so that all left-continuous processes are measurable. In general, cadlag processes are not previsible but their left-continuous modification is.

Proposition: Let X be a cadlag, adapted process and let $H_t = X_{t-}$, $t \geq 0$. Then H is previsible.

Proof: Since X is cadlag and adapted, it is clear that H is left-continuous and adapted. For each n , set

$$H_t^n = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} H_{k \cdot 2^{-n}} \cdot \mathbb{1}_{(k \cdot 2^{-n}, (k+1) \cdot 2^{-n}]}(t)$$

Then H_t^n is previsible $\forall n$ and since H is a left-continuous process,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} H_t^n = H_t \quad \forall t \Rightarrow H \text{ is previsible as a limit of previsible processes} \quad \square$$

Remark: Prop'n \Rightarrow continuous, adapted processes are previsible.

Proposition: If H is previsible, then H_t is measurable wrt $\mathcal{F}_{t-} = \sigma(\mathcal{W}_s : s < t)$ $\forall t \geq 0$.

Proof: Ex. sheet 1.

Remark: The Poisson process (N_t) is not previsible since N_t is not \mathcal{F}_{t-} -meas. where (\mathcal{F}_t) is the natural filtration.

Now going to show that integrating a previsible process against a cadlag process which is adapted and has finite variation yields an adapted cadlag process of finite variation.

Theorem: Let $A: \Omega \times (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a cadlag process which is adapted and has finite variation V . Let H be a previsible process with (1) $\int_{(0, t]} |H(\omega, s)| dV(\omega, s) < \infty \quad \forall t \geq 0, \omega \in \Omega$.

Then the process $H \circ A: \Omega \times (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$(2) \quad (H \circ A)(\omega, t) = \int_{(0, t]} H(\omega, s) dA(\omega, s),$$

$(H \circ A)(\omega, 0) = 0$ is cadlag, adapted and has finite variation.

Proof: The integral in (2) is well-defined due to (1). Indeed, let $H^{\pm} = \max\{\pm H, 0\}$, $A^{\pm} = 1/2(V \pm A)$. Then $H = H^+ - H^-$ and $A = A^+ - A^-$ and

$$H \circ A = (H^+ - H^-) \cdot (A^+ - A^-) = H^+ \cdot A^+ + H^- \cdot A^- - H^+ \cdot A^- - H^- \cdot A^+$$

and all terms on RHS are finite by (1).

NTS: $H \circ A$ is (1) cadlag, (2) adapted, (3) finite variation.

Step 1: Note that $\mathbb{1}_{(0, s]} \rightarrow \mathbb{1}_{(0, t]}$ as $s \downarrow t$
 $\mathbb{1}_{(0, s]} \rightarrow \mathbb{1}_{(0, t]}$ as $s \uparrow t$.

By definition, $(H \circ A)_t = \int H_s \cdot \mathbb{1}_{(s \in (0, t])} dA_s$

$$\text{So } (H \circ A)_t = \int H_s \cdot \lim_{r \downarrow t} \mathbb{1}_{(s \in (0, r])} dA_s$$

$$(DCT) \hookrightarrow = \lim_{r \downarrow t} \int H_s \cdot \mathbb{1}_{(s \in (0, r])} dA_s = \lim_{r \downarrow t} (H \circ A)_r \Rightarrow \text{right continuous}$$

Analogous argument $\Rightarrow H \circ A$ has left-limits, hence cadlag. Also, $\Delta(H \circ A)_t = \int H_s \cdot \mathbb{1}_{(s=t)} dA_s = H_t \Delta A_t$

Step 2: "Monotone class" style argument. Suppose $H = \mathbb{1}_{B \times (s, u]}$, $B \in \mathcal{F}_s$, $s \leq u$. Then $(H \circ A)_t = \mathbb{1}_B \cdot (A_{t \wedge u} - A_{t \wedge s})$, which is \mathcal{F}_t -measurable. Let $\mathcal{A} = \{C \in \mathcal{P} : \mathbb{1}_C \cdot A \text{ is adapted}\}$.

NTS: $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{P}$. Let $\mathcal{T} = \{B \times (s, u], B \in \mathcal{F}_s, s \leq u\}$. We have shown $\mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$, know that \mathcal{T} is a π -system generating \mathcal{P} . Not difficult to see that \mathcal{A} is a λ -system and by Dynkin's lemma $\Rightarrow \mathcal{P} = \sigma(\mathcal{T}) \subseteq \mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{P} \Rightarrow \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{P}$.

Now suppose that $H \geq 0$ is previsible. Set $H^n = (2^{-n} \lfloor 2^n H \rfloor) \wedge n$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{n \cdot 2^n - 1} 2^{-n} \cdot k \cdot \mathbb{1}_{(H \in [2^{-n}k, (k+1) \cdot 2^{-n}])} + n \cdot \mathbb{1}_{(H \geq n)}$$

$\Rightarrow H^n$ is a finite linear combination of functions of the form $\mathbb{1}_C$, $C \in \mathcal{P}$

$\Rightarrow (H^n \circ A)_t$ is \mathcal{F}_t -meas. $\forall t$. Monotone conv. thm: $(H^n \circ A)_t \rightarrow (H \circ A)_t$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$

For general H , write $H = H^+ - H^-$, $H^{\pm} = \max\{\pm H, 0\}$ and use that $(H \circ A)_t = (H^+ \circ A)_t - (H^- \circ A)_t$ both \mathcal{F}_t -meas.

Step 3: Finite variation $H \circ A = (H^+ - H^-) \cdot (A^+ - A^-) = (H^+ \cdot A^+ + H^- \cdot A^-) - (H^- \cdot A^+ + H^+ \cdot A^-)$ is a difference of non-decreasing functions \square

Next: integrating against MBs.

STOCHASTIC CALCULUS

LECTURE 4

Local Martingales:

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}, \mathbb{P})$ be a filtered probability space.

Definition: Say that $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ satisfies the usual conditions if:

- \mathcal{F}_0 contains all \mathbb{P} -null sets.
- $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is right-continuous: $\mathcal{F}_t = \bigcap_{s > t} \mathcal{F}_s$.

Throughout, assume that (\mathcal{F}_t) satisfies the usual conditions. Recall that an integrable adapted process X is an (\mathcal{F}_t) MG if $E[X_t | \mathcal{F}_s] = X_s$ a.s. $\forall s < t$.

sup MG if $E[X_t | \mathcal{F}_s] \leq X_s$ a.s.

sub MG if $E[X_t | \mathcal{F}_s] \geq X_s$ a.s.

for all $0 \leq s < t$.

A random variable T is called a stopping time if $\{T \leq t\} \in \mathcal{F}_t$ for all $t \geq 0$. If X is cadlag and adapted to (\mathcal{F}_t) and we set $\mathcal{F}_T = \{A \in \mathcal{F} : E \cap \{T \leq t\} \in \mathcal{F}_t \forall t \geq 0\}$ then X_T is an \mathcal{F}_T -measurable random variable. If X is a martingale then $X_t^T = X_{t \wedge T}$ is also a martingale.

Theorem (OST) Let X be an adapted, cadlag and integrable process. Then the following are equivalent:

- 1) X is a MG.
- 2) $X^T = (X_{t \wedge T})_{t \geq 0}$ is a MG \forall stopping times T .
- 3) for all bounded stopping times $S \leq T$, then $E[X_T | \mathcal{F}_S] = X_S$ a.s.
- 4) for all bounded stopping times T , we have that $E[X_T] = E[X_0]$.

Definition: A cadlag adapted process X is called a local martingale (MG) if there exists a sequence $(T_n)_{n \geq 1}$ of stopping times with $T_n \uparrow \infty$ a.s. (non-decreasing) such that the stopped process X^{T_n} is a martingale for all $n \geq 1$. In this case, we say that (T_n) reduces X .

Note that a MG is a local martingale as any deterministic sequence T_n does with reduce it.

Example: let B be a standard Brownian motion in \mathbb{R}^3 . Let $M_t = \frac{1}{|B_t|}$. Example Sheet #4 in Advanced Probability.

- i) $(M_t)_{t \geq 1}$ is L^2 bounded: $\sup_{t \geq 1} E[M_t^2] < \infty$.
- ii) $E[M_t] \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.
- iii) M is a supermartingale.

M cannot be a MG otherwise its expectation would vanish by ii) but this cannot be true since $M_t > 0$ a.s.

For each $n \geq 1$, set:

$$T_n = \inf \{t \geq 1 : |B_t| < 1/n\}$$

$$= \inf \{t \geq 1 : |M_t| > n\}$$

WTS: 1) $(M_{t \wedge T_n})_{t \geq 1}$ is a martingale $\forall n$.

2) $T_n \uparrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ a.s.

Note: $n \leq M_1 \Rightarrow T_n = 1$.

$n > M_1 \Rightarrow T_n > 1$.

Since $|B_t|$ cannot hit $1/n$ before hitting $1/(n+1)$, have that T_n is non-decreasing.

Advanced Probability: $f \in C_b^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$,

$$f(B_t) - f(B_0) - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \Delta f(B_s) ds \text{ is a MG.}$$

Note that $f(x) = \frac{1}{|x|}$ is a harmonic function in $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}$. Let $(f_n)_{n \geq 1}$ a sequence of $C_b^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with $f_n(x) = f(x) \chi_{\{|x| \geq 1/n\}}$. If $0 < |B_1| < 1/n$, then $T_n = 1$ and so $M_{t \wedge T_n} = M_t$ is a martingale. Since $B_1 \neq 0$ a.s., we have that $|B_1| > 1/n$ for all n sufficiently large enough in which case

$$f(B_{t \wedge T_n}) = f^n(B_{t \wedge T_n}), \forall t \geq 1.$$

$$\text{Thus: } M_{t \wedge T_n} = f(B_{t \wedge T_n}) - f(B_1) + f(B_1)$$

$$= \left[f(B_{t \wedge T_n}) - f(B_1) - \frac{1}{2} \int_1^{t \wedge T_n} \Delta f(B_s) ds \right] + f(B_1)$$

$$\text{MG } \{ = \left[f^n(B_{t \wedge T_n}) - f^n(B_1) - \frac{1}{2} \int_1^{t \wedge T_n} \Delta f^n(B_s) ds \right] + f^n(B_1)$$

$\Rightarrow M^{T_n} = (M_{t \wedge T_n})_{t \geq 1}$ is a MG.

MS: $T_n \uparrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. As $T_n \leq T_{n+1}$,

NTS: $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_n = \infty$ a.s.
For each R let $S_R = \inf \{t \geq 1 : |B_t| > R\}$
 $= \inf \{t \geq 1 : M_t < 1/R\}$

then $S_R \rightarrow \infty$ as $R \rightarrow \infty$.

$$\mathbb{P}(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_n < \infty) \leq \mathbb{P}(\exists R: T_n < S_R \forall n)$$

$$= \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(T_n < S_R)$$

$$\text{OST} \Rightarrow E[M_{T_n} \chi_{S_R}] = E[M_1] = N \in (0, \infty)$$

$$\text{LHS: } n \cdot \mathbb{P}(T_n < S_R) + 1/R \mathbb{P}(S_R \leq T_n)$$

$$\mathbb{P}(S_R \leq T_n) = 1 - \mathbb{P}(T_n < S_R)$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathbb{P}(T_n < S_R) = \frac{N - 1/R}{n - 1/R} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Therefore, $(M_t)_{t \geq 0}$, non-negative local MG but not a MG, a super MG and in L^2 bdd.

First two properties \Rightarrow sup MG

Proposition: If X is a loc. MG, $X_t \geq 0$ $\forall t \geq 0$, then X is a sup MG.

Proof: Suppose that (T_n) is a reducing sequence. Then for any set, we know that $E[X_t | \mathcal{F}_s] = E[\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} X_{t \wedge T_n} | \mathcal{F}_s]$

$$\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} E[X_{t \wedge T_n} | \mathcal{F}_s] \text{ (Fatou)}$$

$$= \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} X_{t \wedge T_n} = X_t \text{ a.s. } \square$$

Often work with loc. MG's instead of MG's so don't worry about integrability.

LECTURE 5

Last time: local MGs

Today: (1) when is a local MG a MG.
 (2) continuous local MG with finite variation is constant.

Definition: A collection \mathcal{X} of random variables is called uniformly integrable (UI) if $\sup_{X \in \mathcal{X}} \mathbb{E}[|X| \cdot \mathbb{1}_{\{|X| > \lambda\}}] \rightarrow 0$ as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$

Examples of UI families:

(1) Uniformly bounded random variables.
 $\exists C > 0$ deterministic so that $|X| \leq C$ for all $X \in \mathcal{X}$.

(2) L^1 bounded for $p > 1$:
 $\sup_{X \in \mathcal{X}} \mathbb{E}[|X|^p] < \infty$

(3) $\exists Y$ integrable so that $|X| \leq Y \forall X \in \mathcal{X}$.

Lemma: Suppose that $X \in L^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$. Then $\mathcal{X} = \{\mathbb{E}[X|\mathcal{G}]\}$ is a sub- σ -algebra of \mathcal{F} and is also a UI family.

proof: Example Sheet #1.

Proposition: The following are equivalent:

- i) X is a MG.
- ii) X is a local MG and for all $t \geq 0$ the family $\mathcal{X}_t = \{X_T : T \text{ is a stopping time with } T \leq t\}$ is UI.

Proof: i) \Rightarrow ii). Suppose X is a MG. By OST, if T is a stopping time with $T \leq t$, then $\mathbb{E}[X_t | \mathcal{F}_T] = X_T \Rightarrow X_t$ is UI.

ii) \Rightarrow i). Suppose that X is a local MG and X_t is UI for all $t \geq 0$. To show that X is a MG, by OST it suffices to show that for all bounded stopping times T , we have $\mathbb{E}[X_T] = \mathbb{E}[X_0]$. Let (T_n) be a reducing sequence for X and let $T \leq t$ be a stopping time.

$$\mathbb{E}[X_0] = \mathbb{E}[X_{T_n}] = \mathbb{E}[X_T^{T_n}] \stackrel{\text{OST}}{=} \mathbb{E}[X_{T \wedge T_n}]$$

Since $\{X_{T \wedge T_n} : n \geq 0\}$ is UI and $X_{T \wedge T_n} \rightarrow X_T$ a.s.

Advanced Probability $\Rightarrow X_{T \wedge T_n} \rightarrow X_T$ in L^1 as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore $\mathbb{E}[X_{T \wedge T_n}] \rightarrow \mathbb{E}[X_T]$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Hence $\mathbb{E}[X_0] = \mathbb{E}[X_T]$.

OST $\Rightarrow X$ is a MG. □

Corollary: A bounded local MG is a MG. More generally, if X is a local martingale and $\exists Y$ integrable such that $|X_t| \leq Y \forall t \geq 0$, then X is a MG.

Theorem: let X be a continuous local MG with $X_0 = 0$. If X has finite variation, then $X \equiv 0$ a.s.

Proof: Let V be the total variation process for X . Then $V_0 = 0$ continuous, adapted and non-decreasing. Let $T_n = \inf\{t \geq 0 : V_t = n\}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $T_n \uparrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ since X has finite variation. Moreover,

$$|X_{T_n}| = |X_{T_n}| \leq V_{T_n} \leq n.$$

$\Rightarrow X^{T_n}$ is a bounded local MG

$\Rightarrow X^{T_n}$ is a MG.

To prove that $X \equiv 0$, NWS: $X_{T_n} \equiv 0 \forall n$ [$T_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$]. Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $Y = X^{T_n}$. Y is a continuous bounded martingale with $Y_0 = 0$. To prove that $Y \equiv 0$, suffices to show that $\mathbb{E}[Y_t^2] = 0 \forall t \geq 0$.

[this implies that $Y_t = 0 \forall t \geq 0, t \in \mathcal{C}$ a.s. so $Y \equiv 0$ by continuity]. Fix $t \geq 0, N \in \mathbb{N}$, let $t_k = \frac{k}{N}t$ for $0 \leq k \leq N$.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[Y_t^2] &= \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} (Y_{t_{k+1}} - Y_{t_k})^2\right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} (Y_{t_{k+1}} - Y_{t_k})^2\right] \\ &\leq \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} |Y_{t_{k+1}} - Y_{t_k}|^2\right] \\ &\leq \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} |Y_{t_{k+1}} - Y_{t_k}|^2\right] \\ &\leq V_{t \wedge T_n} \leq n \end{aligned}$$

Since Y is continuous, $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left(\max_{0 \leq k \leq N-1} |Y_{t_{k+1}} - Y_{t_k}|\right) = 0$ a.s.

Bounded convergence $\Rightarrow \mathbb{E}[Y_t^2] = 0$. □

Remarks: i) Proof requires continuity, in particular not true without continuity.
 ii) Theorem \Rightarrow Brownian motion has infinite variation, so cannot use Lebesgue-Stieltjes integral to define the integral against a BM.

For continuous local MG, there is always an explicit way of choosing the reducing sequence.

Proposition: Let X be a continuous local MG with $X_0 = 0$. Then $T_n = \inf\{t \geq 0 : |X_t| = n\}$ reduces X .

Proof: Step 1 T_n is a stopping time.
 $\{T_n \leq t\} = \left\{ \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} |X_s| \geq n \right\}$

$$= \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{s \leq t \leq s+k} \left\{ |X_s| > n - \frac{1}{k} \right\} \in \mathcal{F}_t$$

Step 2: $T_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
 Since $\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} |X_s(\omega)| < \infty \Rightarrow \exists n(\omega, t) \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $n(\omega, t) \geq \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} |X_s(\omega)|$.

$\Rightarrow n \geq n(\omega, t) \Rightarrow T_n(\omega) > t \Rightarrow T_n(\omega) \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Step 3: (T_n) reduces X . Let (T_n^*) be a reducing sequence (exists since X is a local MG). Then $X^{T_n^*}$ is a MG. NWS: X^{T_n} is a MG. OST $\Rightarrow X^{T_n \wedge T_n^*}$ is a MG. $\Rightarrow X^{T_n}$ is a local martingale with reducing sequence (T_n^*) .

Since X^{T_n} is in addition bounded, it is a MG. $\Rightarrow (T_n)$ reduces X . □

Next time: stochastic integral.

LECTURE 6

The Stochastic Integral

Goal: be able to integrate against a continuous local MG.

How does one construct an integral (Riemann, Lebesgue)?

An integral is a linear map

$$\mathcal{I}: X \rightarrow Y \text{ where } X, Y \text{ are normed vector spaces.}$$

Steps: (1) Define it on a dense set

$$D \subseteq X$$

(2) Show that it is a continuous linear map:

$$\exists C > 0 \text{ s.t. } \|\mathcal{I}(f)\|_Y \leq C \cdot \|f\|_X \quad \forall f \in D.$$

$\Rightarrow \mathcal{I}$ extends by continuity to X .

Need to (1) specify D, X, Y , prove (2).

simple processes, quadratic variation \mathcal{I} to isometry

Theorem: let X be a cadlag, L^2 -bounded MG ($\sup_{t \geq 0} \mathbb{E}[X_t^2] < \infty$). There exists X_∞

s.t. $X_t \rightarrow X_\infty$ a.s. and in L^2 and $\mathbb{E}[X_\infty | \mathcal{F}_t] = X_t \quad \forall t \geq 0$ (X_∞ is called the "final value" of X).

Proposition: (Doob's L^2 -inequality) Let X be a cadlag, L^2 -bounded MG. Then $\mathbb{E}[\sup_{t \geq 0} |X_t|^2] \leq 4 \mathbb{E}[X_\infty^2]$

Define: $\mathcal{M}^2 = \{L^2 \text{ bounded, cadlag MGs}\}$
 $\mathcal{M}_c^2 = \{L^2 \text{ bounded, cont. MGs}\}$
 $\mathcal{M}_{c,loc}^2 = \{L^2 \text{ bounded, continuous local MGs}\}$

Definition: A process $H: \Omega \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called a simple process if it is of the form $H(\omega, t) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} Z_k(\omega) \cdot \mathbb{1}_{(t_k, t_{k+1}]}(t)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n$, Z_k bounded, \mathcal{F}_{t_k} -measurable random variable $\forall k$.

Let \mathcal{S} be the set of simple processes.

- Define $(H \cdot M)_t$ for $H \in \mathcal{S}$, $M \in \mathcal{M}^2$
- Extend the integral to more general integrands [$M \in \mathcal{M}_c^2$]

Integrating a simple process: Suppose that $H_t = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} Z_k \cdot \mathbb{1}_{(t_k, t_{k+1}]}(t)$ is a simple process, $M \in \mathcal{M}^2$. Set:

$$(H \cdot M)_t = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} Z_k \cdot (M_{t_{k+1}} - M_{t_k})$$

Proposition: If $H \in \mathcal{S}$, $M \in \mathcal{M}^2$, then $H \cdot M \in \mathcal{M}^2$. Moreover, $\mathbb{E}[(H \cdot M)_\infty^2] = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \mathbb{E}[Z_k^2 (M_{t_{k+1}} - M_{t_k})^2]$

$$\leq 4 \cdot \|H\|_\infty^2 \mathbb{E}[(M_\infty - M_0)^2]$$

Proof: Step 1 $H \cdot M$ is a MG. Suppose that $t_k \leq s < t \leq t_{k+1}$. Then we have that $(H \cdot M)_t - (H \cdot M)_s = Z_k \cdot (M_t - M_s)$ so that $\mathbb{E}[(H \cdot M)_t - (H \cdot M)_s | \mathcal{F}_s] = Z_k \cdot \mathbb{E}[M_t - M_s | \mathcal{F}_s] = 0$ since Z_k is \mathcal{F}_s -meas., $M \in \mathcal{M}^2$.

Suppose that $0 \leq t_j \leq s \leq t_{j+1} \leq t_k \leq t \leq t_{k+1}$
 $\mathbb{E}[(H \cdot M)_t - (H \cdot M)_s | \mathcal{F}_s]$
 $= \mathbb{E}[\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} Z_i \cdot (M_{t_{i+1}} - M_{t_i}) + Z_k \cdot (M_t - M_{t_k}) - (\sum_{i=0}^{j-1} Z_i \cdot (M_{t_{i+1}} - M_{t_i}) + Z_j \cdot (M_s - M_{t_j})) | \mathcal{F}_s]$
 $= \sum_{i=j+1}^{k-1} \mathbb{E}[Z_i \cdot (M_{t_{i+1}} - M_{t_i}) | \mathcal{F}_s] + \mathbb{E}[Z_j \cdot (M_{t_{j+1}} - M_s) | \mathcal{F}_s] + \mathbb{E}[Z_k \cdot (M_t - M_{t_k}) | \mathcal{F}_s] = 0.$

Since $\bullet \mathbb{E}[Z_i \cdot (M_{t_{i+1}} - M_{t_i}) | \mathcal{F}_s] = \mathbb{E}[Z_i \cdot \mathbb{E}[M_{t_{i+1}} - M_{t_i} | \mathcal{F}_{t_i}] | \mathcal{F}_s] = 0 \quad \forall j+1 \leq i \leq k-1$
 $\bullet \mathbb{E}[Z_j \cdot (M_{t_{j+1}} - M_s) | \mathcal{F}_s] = Z_j \cdot \mathbb{E}[M_{t_{j+1}} - M_s | \mathcal{F}_s] = 0$
 $\bullet \mathbb{E}[Z_k \cdot (M_t - M_{t_k}) | \mathcal{F}_s] = \mathbb{E}[Z_k \cdot \mathbb{E}[M_t - M_{t_k} | \mathcal{F}_{t_k}] | \mathcal{F}_s] = 0.$

$\Rightarrow H \cdot M$ is a MG.

Step 2: $H \cdot M$ is L^2 -bounded.

If $j < k$, then we have that

$$\mathbb{E}[Z_j \cdot (M_{t_{j+1}} - M_{t_j}) \cdot Z_k \cdot (M_{t_{k+1}} - M_{t_k})] = \mathbb{E}[Z_j \cdot (M_{t_{j+1}} - M_{t_j}) \cdot \mathbb{E}[Z_k \cdot (M_{t_{k+1}} - M_{t_k}) | \mathcal{F}_{t_k}]] = 0.$$

So, $\mathbb{E}[(H \cdot M)_t^2] = \mathbb{E}[(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} Z_k \cdot (M_{t_{k+1}} - M_{t_k}))^2]$
 $= \mathbb{E}[\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} Z_k^2 \cdot (M_{t_{k+1}} - M_{t_k})^2]$
 $\leq \|H\|_\infty^2 \cdot \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \mathbb{E}[(M_{t_{k+1}} - M_{t_k})^2]$
 $\leq 4 \cdot \|H\|_\infty^2 \cdot \mathbb{E}[(M_\infty - M_0)^2]$ (Doob's L^2 -ineq.)

\hookrightarrow MG orthogonality again to telescope.

This bound is uniform in t , so $H \cdot M$ is L^2 -bounded, $H \cdot M \in \mathcal{M}^2$.

Step 3:

$$\mathbb{E}[(H \cdot M)_\infty^2] \leq \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[(H \cdot M)_t^2] \leq \sup_{t \geq 0} \mathbb{E}[(H \cdot M)_t^2] \leq 4 \cdot \|H\|_\infty^2 \cdot \mathbb{E}[(M_\infty - M_0)^2]$$

Space of integrators: For X cadlag and adapted, define the norm:

$$\|X\| = \|X^*\|_{L^2}, \quad X^* = \sup_{t \geq 0} |X_t|.$$

$$\mathcal{C}^2 = \left\{ \text{cadlag, adapted processes } X \text{ with } \|X\| < \infty \right\}$$

Define the norm on \mathcal{M}^2 is given by

$$\|X\| = \|X_\infty\|_{L^2}.$$

Clearly a seminorm. To see that it is a norm, suppose that $\|X\| = \|X_\infty\|_{L^2} = 0$

$\Rightarrow X_\infty = 0$ a.s.

$\Rightarrow X_t = \mathbb{E}[X_\infty | \mathcal{F}_t] = 0$ a.s. $\forall t \geq 0$.

Cadlag property $\Rightarrow X \equiv 0$ a.s.

Set: $\mathcal{M} = \{ \text{cadlag martingales} \}$
 $\mathcal{M}_c = \{ \text{cont. martingales} \}$
 $\mathcal{M}_{c,loc} = \{ \text{cont. loc. martingales} \}$

LECTURE 7

X cadlag, adapted, $\|X\| = \|X^*\|_{L^2}$,
 $X^* = \sup_{t \geq 0} |X_t|$

$C^2 = \{ \text{cadlag, adapted } X \text{ with } \|X\| < +\infty \}$

$X \in M^2, \|X\| = \|X_{\infty}\|_{L^2}, X_{\infty} = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} X_t$ "final value"

$M = \{ \text{cadlag MBs} \}$

$M_c = \{ \text{Cont. MBs} \}, M_{c,loc} = \{ \text{cont. loc. MBs} \}$

Proposition:

- a) $(C^2, \|\cdot\|)$ is complete.
- b) $M^2 = M \cap C^2$
- c) $(M^2, \|\cdot\|)$ is a Hilbert space,
 $M_c^2 = M_c \cap M^2$ is a closed subspace.
- d) The map $M^2 \rightarrow L^2(\mathcal{F}_{\infty})$ is an isometry.
 $X \mapsto X_{\infty}$
 $\mathcal{F}_{\infty} = \sigma(\mathcal{F}_t; t \geq 0)$.

Remark: We can identify an element of M^2 with its final value so $(M^2, \|\cdot\|)$ inherits the Hilbert space structure $(L^2(\mathcal{F}_{\infty}), \|\cdot\|_{L^2})$. Since $(M_c^2, \|\cdot\|)$ is a closed, linear subspace of $(M^2, \|\cdot\|)$ by c), it is also a Hilbert space. This is the space of processes against which we will integrate.

Proof:

- a) Suppose that (X^n) is Cauchy wrt $\|\cdot\|$. Then \exists a subsequence $(X^{n_k})_{k=1}^{\infty}$ of (X^n) s.t. $\sum_k \|X^{n_k} - X^{n_{k+1}}\| < \infty$. Thus $\sum_k \sup_t |X_t^{n_k} - X_t^{n_{k+1}}| < \infty$ a.s. $\Rightarrow (X^{n_k})_{k \geq 1}$ is uniformly Cauchy on $[0, \infty)$ a.s., hence converges to a cadlag limit X .

MVS: $X^n \rightarrow X$ wrt $\|\cdot\|$.
 $\|X - X^n\|^2 = \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \geq 0} |X_t - X_t^n|^2 \right]$
 $= \mathbb{E} \left[\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{t \geq 0} |X_t^n - X_t^{n_k}|^2 \right]$
 $\leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \geq 0} |X_t^n - X_t^{n_k}|^2 \right]$
 $\leq \left(\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|X^n - X^{n_k}\|^2 \right) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$ a.s.

Since X^n is Cauchy. \square

- b) Suppose that $X \in C^2 \cap M$. Then $\|X\| < +\infty$ so, $\sup_{t \geq 0} \|X_t\|_{L^2} \leq \int_0^{\infty} \|X_t\|_{L^2}^2 dt < \infty$ Jensen $\Rightarrow X \in M^2$.

Suppose that $X \in M^2$. By Doob's L^2 -inequality, $\|X\| \leq 2 \cdot \|X_{\infty}\|_{L^2} \Rightarrow 2 \|X\| < \infty \Rightarrow X \in C^2 \cap M$.
 $\Rightarrow M^2 = M \cap C^2$.

- c) Note that $(X, Y) \mapsto \mathbb{E}[X_{\infty} Y_{\infty}]$ defines an inner product on M^2 , for $X, Y \in M^2$.
 $\|X\| \leq \|X\|_{L^2} \leq 2 \cdot \|X\|$ (Doob's L^2 -ineq.)
 $\Rightarrow \|\cdot\|, \|\cdot\|_{L^2}$ are equivalent norms on M^2 .
 To show that $(M^2, \|\cdot\|)$ is complete, it suffices to show that $(M^2, \|\cdot\|_{L^2})$ is complete. To see this, let X^n be a sequence in M^2 s.t. $\|X^n - X^m\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n, m \rightarrow \infty$ where $X \in C^2$. (Suffices to show M^2 is closed).
 We know that X cadlag, adapted, L^2 -bounded since $X \in M^2$.

MVS: $X \in M^2$. Fix set S , we have that:
 $\| \mathbb{E}[X_t | \mathcal{F}_S] - X_S \|_{L^2} = \| \mathbb{E}[X_t - X_t^n | \mathcal{F}_S] + X_S^n - X_S \|_{L^2}$
 $\leq \| \mathbb{E}[X_t - X_t^n | \mathcal{F}_S] \|_{L^2} + \| X_S^n - X_S \|_{L^2}$
 Jensen $\leq \| X_t^n - X_t \|_{L^2} + \| X_S^n - X_S \|_{L^2} \leq 2 \cdot \|X^n - X\| \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$
 $\Rightarrow X \in M^2 \Rightarrow M^2$ is closed on C^2

- d) True by definition. \square .

Space of integrals:

Let (X^n) be a sequence of processes. We say that $X^n \xrightarrow{u.c.p.} X$ uniformly on compact sets in probability (ucp) if for every $\varepsilon > 0$, $\mathbb{P}(\sup_{\text{set}} |X^n - X| > \varepsilon) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Theorem: Suppose that $M \in M_{c,loc}$. There exists a unique (up to indistinguishability) continuous, adapted, non-decreasing process $[M_t]$ s.t. $[M_0] = 0, M^2 - [M] \in M_{c,loc}$. Moreover, if we set:
 $[M]_t^n = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (M_{(k+1) \cdot 2^{-n}} - M_{k \cdot 2^{-n}})^2$

then $[M]_t^n \rightarrow [M]_t$ ucp as $n \rightarrow \infty$. The process $[M]$ is called the quadratic variation of M .

Example: Let B be a standard BM. Then $(B_t^2 - t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a MG $\Rightarrow [B]_t = t$. We will prove later that Brownian Motion is characterised by this property, i.e. $M \in M_{c,loc}$, $M_0 = 0, [M]_t = t \forall t \geq 0$, then M is a BM. (Lévy characterisation of BM).

Proof: Replace M_t with $M_t - M_0$ so wlog $M_0 = 0$.
Step 1: Uniqueness. Suppose that A, A' are two non-decreasing, continuous, adapted processes satisfying the conditions in the thm. Then, $A_t - A'_t = (M_t^2 - A_t) - (M_t^2 - A'_t)$
 $\left. \begin{array}{l} \text{LHS: cont, incr variation} \\ \text{RHS: process in } M_{c,loc} \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow A - A' \text{ constant}$
 $A_0 = A'_0 = 0 \Rightarrow A = A'$
 (continuing next time)

LECTURE 8

Theorem: Let $M \in \mathcal{M}_{c,loc}$. There exists (up to indistinguishability) a unique adapted, continuous, non-decreasing process $[M]$ s.t. $[M]_0 = 0$ and $M^2 - [M] \in \mathcal{M}_{c,loc}$.
 Moreover, if $\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \Delta_k^2$ is set
 $[M]_t^n = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (M_{(k+1)\tau} - M_{k\tau})^2$
 then $[M]_t^n \rightarrow [M]_t$ ucp as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
 The process $[M]$ is called the quadratic variation of M .

Last time: Uniqueness (Step 1). Today: existence.

Lemma: Suppose that $M \in \mathcal{L}$ is bounded. Then for any $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_N < \infty$, we have that:

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\left(\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} (M_{t_{k+1}} - M_{t_k})^2 \right)^2 \right] \leq 48 \cdot \|M\|_{\infty}^4 \Delta_k$$

Proof: $\mathbb{E} \left[\left(\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \Delta_k^2 \right)^2 \right]$

$$\textcircled{*} = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \mathbb{E} [\Delta_k^4] + 2 \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \mathbb{E} \left[\Delta_k^2 \sum_{j=k+1}^{N-1} \Delta_j^2 \right]$$

For each fixed k , we have that:

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left[\Delta_k^2 \sum_{j=k+1}^{N-1} \Delta_j^2 \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[\Delta_k^2 \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{j=k+1}^{N-1} \Delta_j^2 \mid \mathcal{F}_{t_{k+1}} \right] \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[\Delta_k^2 \cdot \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\sum_{j=k+1}^{N-1} \Delta_j \right)^2 \mid \mathcal{F}_{t_{k+1}} \right] \right] \end{aligned}$$

(MG orthogonality)

$$= \mathbb{E} \left[\Delta_k^2 \cdot \mathbb{E} \left[(M_{t_N} - M_{t_{k+1}})^2 \mid \mathcal{F}_{t_{k+1}} \right] \right]$$

$$= \mathbb{E} \left[\Delta_k^2 \cdot (M_{t_N} - M_{t_{k+1}})^2 \right]$$

$$\Rightarrow \textcircled{*} \leq \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\max_{0 \leq j \leq N-1} |M_{t_{j+1}} - M_{t_j}|^2 + 2 \cdot \max_{0 \leq j \leq N-1} |M_{t_N} - M_{t_j}|^2 \right) \times \left(\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \Delta_k^2 \right) \right]$$

$$\leq 12 \cdot \|M\|_{\infty}^2 \cdot \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \Delta_k^2 \right] \cdot (a+b)^2 \leq 2(a^2+b^2)$$

$$= 12 \cdot \|M\|_{\infty}^2 \cdot \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \Delta_k^2 \right]$$

$$= 12 \cdot \|M\|_{\infty}^2 \cdot \mathbb{E} \left[(M_{t_N} - M_{t_0})^2 \right]$$

$$\leq 48 \cdot \|M\|_{\infty}^4 \Delta_k \quad \square$$

Proof of the existence of $[M]$:

wlog $M_0 = 0$ (by replacing M_t with $M_t - M_0$ if necessary).

Step 2: $M \in \mathcal{M}_c$ bounded ($M \in \mathcal{M}_c^2$).

For $T > 0$ and set:

$$H_t^n = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor 2^n T \rfloor - 1} M_{k\tau} \cdot \mathbb{1}_{(k\tau, (k+1)\tau)}(t)$$

Then $H^n \in \mathcal{S}$ for all n and set:

$$X_t^n = (H^n \cdot M)_t = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor 2^n T \rfloor - 1} M_{k\tau} \cdot (M_{(k+1)\tau} - M_{k\tau})$$

Then $X^n \in \mathcal{M}_{c,bounded} \Rightarrow X^n \in \mathcal{M}_c^2$. Will show that (X^n) is Cauchy in $(\mathcal{M}_c^2, \|\cdot\|)$ hence has a limit in \mathcal{M}_c^2 . For n, m and write

$$H = H^n - H^m \text{ so that } X^n - X^m = (H^n - H^m) \cdot M = H \cdot M$$

$$\text{Then, } \|X^n - X^m\|^2 = \mathbb{E} \left[(H \cdot M)_T^2 \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[(H \cdot M)_T^2 \right]$$

$$= \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor 2^n T \rfloor - 1} H_{k\tau} \cdot (M_{(k+1)\tau} - M_{k\tau}) \right)^2 \right]$$

$$= \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor 2^n T \rfloor - 1} H_{k\tau}^2 \cdot (M_{(k+1)\tau} - M_{k\tau})^2 \right]$$

(MG orthogonality)

$$\leq \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \in [0, T]} |H_t|^2 \cdot \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor 2^n T \rfloor - 1} (M_{(k+1)\tau} - M_{k\tau})^2 \right]$$

$$\leq \left(\mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \in [0, T]} |H_t|^4 \right] \right)^{1/2} \cdot \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor 2^n T \rfloor - 1} (M_{(k+1)\tau} - M_{k\tau})^2 \right]^{1/2}$$

First term: (A) $\sup_{t \in [0, T]} |H_t|^4 = \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |H_t^n - H_t^m|^4$

$$\leq 16 \cdot \|M\|_{\infty}^4$$

(B) $\sup_{t \in [0, T]} |H_t^n - H_t^m| \rightarrow 0$ as $n, m \rightarrow \infty$

Since M is continuous. By the Bounded Convergence Theorem, first term $\rightarrow 0$ as $n, m \rightarrow \infty$.

$$\text{Second term} \leq (48 \cdot \|M\|_{\infty}^4)^{1/2} < \infty$$

$\Rightarrow \|X^n - X^m\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n, m \rightarrow \infty$. Since $(\mathcal{M}_c^2, \|\cdot\|)$ is complete, $\exists Y \in \mathcal{M}_c^2$ s.t.

$$X_n \rightarrow Y \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \text{ in } \mathcal{M}_c^2$$

For any n and $1 \leq k \leq \lfloor 2^n T \rfloor$, we have that

$$M_{k\tau}^2 - 2 \cdot X_{k\tau}^n = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} (M_{(j+1)\tau} - M_{j\tau})^2 = [M]_{k\tau}^n$$

$\Rightarrow \forall n, M^2 - 2X^n$ is non-decreasing when restricted to times of the form $\{k\tau, 1 \leq k \leq \lfloor 2^n T \rfloor\}$.

To prove the same is also true for $M^2 - 2Y$ it suffices to show that $X^n \rightarrow Y$ a.s. uniformly, at least along a subsequence.

This follows from the equivalence of norms $\|\cdot\|, \|\cdot\|_1, \|\cdot\|_2$.

Set $[M]_t = M_t^2 - 2Y_t$. Then $[M]$ is continuous, adapted, non-decreasing and $M^2 - [M] = 2Y \in \mathcal{M}_c$.

Can extend to all times by applying the above $T = k\tau \forall k \in \mathbb{N}$. Uniqueness \Rightarrow process obtained with $T = k\tau, T = (k+1)\tau$ restricted to $[k\tau, (k+1)\tau]$ is the same.

Step 3: $[M]_t^n \rightarrow [M]_t$ ucp as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

$$X^n \rightarrow Y \text{ in } (\mathcal{M}_c^2, \|\cdot\|) \Rightarrow \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |X_t^n - Y_t| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \text{ in } L^2 \text{ since } \|\cdot\|_1, \|\cdot\|_2 \text{ are equivalent} \Rightarrow \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |X_t^n - Y_t| \rightarrow 0 \text{ in probability.}$$

$$\text{Now, } [M]_t^n = M_{2^{-n} \lfloor 2^n t \rfloor}^2 - 2X_{2^{-n} \lfloor 2^n t \rfloor}^n$$

$$\text{So, } \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |[M]_t^n - [M]_t^n| \leq \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |M_{2^{-n} \lfloor 2^n t \rfloor}^2 - M_t^2| + 2 \cdot \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |X_{2^{-n} \lfloor 2^n t \rfloor}^n - Y_{2^{-n} \lfloor 2^n t \rfloor}| + 2 \cdot \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |Y_{2^{-n} \lfloor 2^n t \rfloor} - Y_t|$$

Each term on RHS $\rightarrow 0$ in probability. (\Rightarrow convergence in ucp).

LECTURE 9

Step 4: $M \in \mathcal{M}_{c,loc}$ ["localisation argument"]
 For each $n \geq 1$, let $T_n = \inf \{t \geq 0 : |M_t| \geq n\}$.
 Then (T_n) reduces M and M^{T_n} is bounded MG
 for all n . Therefore $\exists!$ continuous, adapted
 and non-decreasing process $[M^{T_n}]$ such that
 $[M^{T_n}]_0 = 0$ and $(M^{T_n})^2 - [M^{T_n}] \in \mathcal{M}_{c,loc}$.

Let $A^n = [M^{T_n}]$. By uniqueness,
 $(A^{n+1})_{t \wedge T_n}, (A^n)_t$ are indistinguishable. Let
 A be the process such that
 $A_{t \wedge T_n} = A^n_t$ for all n . Then $M_{t \wedge T_n}^2 - A_{t \wedge T_n} \in \mathcal{M}_{c,loc}$
 for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \Rightarrow M^2 - A \in \mathcal{M}_{c,loc}$ with
 reducing sequence $(T_n) \Rightarrow [M] = A$.

Know! $[M^{T_k}]^n \rightarrow [M^{T_k}]$ vcp as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for
 i.e. $\forall \epsilon, T > 0: \mathbb{P}[\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |[M^{T_k}]_t^n - [M^{T_k}]_t| > \epsilon] \rightarrow 0$
 as $n \rightarrow \infty$. On $\{T_k \geq T\}$, $[M]_t^n = [M^{T_k}]_t^n$ and
 $[M]_t = [M^{T_k}]_t$.

Thus: $\mathbb{P}[\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |[M]_t^n - [M]_t| > \epsilon]$
 $\leq \mathbb{P}[T_k \leq T] + \mathbb{P}[\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |[M^{T_k}]_t^n - [M^{T_k}]_t| > \epsilon]$
 $\rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then $k \rightarrow \infty$.
 LHS $\rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. □

Theorem: Let $M \in \mathcal{M}_{c,loc}^2$. Then
 $M^2 - [M]$ is a UI MG.

Proof: let $T = \inf \{t \geq 0 : [M]_t \geq n\}$ for
 $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $T_n \uparrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, T_n is a
 stopping time, $[M]_{t \wedge T_n} \leq n$

$$|M_{t \wedge T_n}^2 - [M]_{t \wedge T_n}| \leq n + \sup_{u \leq T_n} M_u^2$$

$\mathcal{M}_{c,loc}$ M_u^2

Doob's inequality \rightarrow RHS is integrable
 $\Rightarrow M_{t \wedge T_n}^2 - [M]_{t \wedge T_n} \in \mathcal{M}_{c,loc}$

Ost $\Rightarrow \mathbb{E}[M_{t \wedge T_n}^2 - [M]_{t \wedge T_n}] = 0$
 $\Rightarrow \mathbb{E}[M_{t \wedge T_n}^2] = \mathbb{E}[M]_{t \wedge T_n}$

Send $t \rightarrow \infty$. Monotone convergence thm
 \Rightarrow LHS $\Rightarrow \mathbb{E}[M]_{T_n}$

RHS \rightarrow Dominated Convergence theorem
 \Rightarrow RHS $\rightarrow \mathbb{E}[M_{T_n}^2]$

$\Rightarrow \mathbb{E}[M]_{T_n} = \mathbb{E}[M_{T_n}^2]$

Send $n \rightarrow \infty$. MCT \Rightarrow LHS $\rightarrow \mathbb{E}[M]_{\infty}$
 RHS \rightarrow RHS $\rightarrow \mathbb{E}[M_{\infty}^2]$

$\Rightarrow \mathbb{E}[M]_{\infty} = \mathbb{E}[M_{\infty}^2] < \infty$
 $\Rightarrow \mathbb{E}[M]_{\infty}$ is integrable.

Moreover, $|M_t^2 - [M]_t| \leq \sup_{u \leq t} M_u^2 + [M]_{\infty}$
 RHS is integrable $\Rightarrow M_t^2 - [M]_t \in \mathcal{M}_{c,loc}$ and
 UI as it is dominated by an integrable r.v. □

The space $L^2(M)$, $M \in \mathcal{M}_{c,loc}^2$

Recall that $\mathcal{P} =$ previsible σ -algebra
 $= \sigma(E \times (s, t] : E \in \mathcal{F}_s, s < t)$
 For $A \in \mathcal{P}$, define $\mu(A) = \mathbb{E}[\int_0^{\infty} \mathbb{1}_A(\omega, s) d[M]_s]$

Then μ is a measure on $(\Omega \times (0, \infty), \mathcal{P})$.

Moreover, it is uniquely determined by
 $\mu(E \times (s, t]) = \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{1}_E([M]_t - [M]_s)]$

for $s < t$, $E \in \mathcal{F}_s$ since \mathcal{P} is generated
 by sets of this form and they form a
 π -system. If $H \geq 0$ is previsible, then:

$$\int_{\Omega \times (0, \infty)} H d\mu = \mathbb{E}[\int_0^{\infty} H_s d[M]_s]$$

Definition: let $L^2(M) = L^2(\Omega \times (0, \infty), \mathcal{P}, \mu)$

Write $\|H\|_{L^2(M)} = \|H\|_{\mu} = (\mathbb{E}[\int_0^{\infty} H_s^2 d[M]_s])^{1/2}$

$L^2(M) =$ previsible processes with $\|H\|_{\mu} < \infty$.
 Hilbert space. This is the space of integrands

Remark: $(L^2(M), \| \cdot \|_{\mu})$ depends on M since
 μ depends on M , but $\mathcal{S} \subseteq L^2(M) \forall M \in \mathcal{M}_{c,loc}^2$
 simple processes \uparrow

Ito integrals: Recall that for

$$H = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} Z_k \cdot \mathbb{1}_{(t_k, t_{k+1}]} \in \mathcal{S}, M \in \mathcal{M}_{c,loc}^2$$

we set $(H \cdot M)_t = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} Z_k \cdot (M_{t_{k+1}} - M_{t_k}) \in \mathcal{M}_{c,loc}^2$
 This map defines a map:

$$\mathcal{S} \xrightarrow{\text{in } L^2(M)} \mathcal{M}_{c,loc}^2$$

Will prove that it defines an isometry between
 $(L^2(M), \| \cdot \|_{\mu})$ and $(\mathcal{M}_{c,loc}^2, \| \cdot \|)$ when
 restricted to \mathcal{S} (Ito isometry)

$$\|H \cdot M\|^2 = \|(H \cdot M)\|_{L^2}^2 \quad \text{see calculation from before}$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \mathbb{E}[Z_k^2 (M_{t_{k+1}} - M_{t_k})^2]$$

Since $M^2 - [M]$ is a MG, we have that:

$$\mathbb{E}[Z_k^2 (M_{t_{k+1}} - M_{t_k})^2] = \mathbb{E}[Z_k^2 \mathbb{E}[(M_{t_{k+1}} - M_{t_k})^2 | \mathcal{F}_{t_k}]]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}[Z_k^2 \mathbb{E}[M_{t_{k+1}}^2 - M_{t_k}^2 | \mathcal{F}_{t_k}]]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}[Z_k^2 \mathbb{E}[[M]_{t_{k+1}} - [M]_{t_k} | \mathcal{F}_{t_k}]]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}[Z_k^2 ([M]_{t_{k+1}} - [M]_{t_k})]$$

$$\Rightarrow \|H \cdot M\|^2 = \mathbb{E}[\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} Z_k^2 ([M]_{t_{k+1}} - [M]_{t_k})]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}[\int_0^{\infty} H_s^2 d[M]_s] = \|H\|_{\mu}^2$$

LECTURE 10

Theorem (Ito Isometry) There exists a unique isometry $I: L^2(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_c^2$ such that $I(H) = H \cdot M$ for all $H \in \mathcal{S}$.

Definition: for $M \in \mathcal{M}_c^2$, $H \in L^2(\mathcal{M})$, let $H \cdot M = I(H)$ where I is from the theorem. To prove the theorem, we first prove that the simple processes are dense in $L^2(\mathcal{M})$.

Lemma: let ν be any finite measure on \mathcal{P} . Then \mathcal{S} is dense in $L^2(\mathcal{P}, \nu)$. In particular, if $M \in \mathcal{M}_c^2$ and we take $\nu = \mu$, we have that \mathcal{S} is dense in $L^2(\mathcal{M})$.

Proof: Since $H \in \mathcal{S} \Rightarrow \|H \cdot M\|_{\infty} < \infty$, it follows that $\mathcal{S} \subseteq L^2(\mathcal{P}, \nu)$. Let $\bar{\mathcal{S}}$ be the closure of \mathcal{S} in $L^2(\mathcal{P}, \nu)$. WTS: $\bar{\mathcal{S}} = L^2(\mathcal{P}, \nu)$. Let $\mathcal{A} = \{A \in \mathcal{P} : \mathbb{1}_A \in \bar{\mathcal{S}}\}$. WTS: $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{P}$.

Obvious that $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{P}$. To see why the other direction holds, note that

(A) \mathcal{A} contains the π -system $\{E_{G_i}, E_{\bar{G}_i} : E_{G_i} \in \mathcal{G}_i, G_i \in \mathcal{G}_i\}$, which generates \mathcal{P} .

(B) \mathcal{A} is a λ -system.

Dynkin's lemma $\Rightarrow \mathcal{P} \subseteq \mathcal{A} \Rightarrow \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{P}$.

\Rightarrow Lemma follows since linear combinations of such indicators are dense in $L^2(\mathcal{P}, \nu)$. \square

Proof of Ito Isometry: Take $H \in L^2(\mathcal{M})$. Lemma $\Rightarrow \exists (H^n)$ in \mathcal{S} s.t. $\|H^n - H\|_{L^2(\mathcal{M})} \rightarrow 0$, $n \rightarrow \infty$.

$\Rightarrow (H^n)$ is a Cauchy sequence wrt $\|\cdot\|_{L^2(\mathcal{M})}$.

WTS: $I(H^n)$ is Cauchy wrt $\|\cdot\|$.

$$\begin{aligned} \|I(H^n) - I(H^m)\| &= \|H^n \cdot M - H^m \cdot M\| \text{ (linearity)} \\ &= \|(H^n - H^m) \cdot M\| = \|H^n - H^m\|_{L^2(\mathcal{M})} \text{ (isometry)}. \end{aligned}$$

$\rightarrow 0$ as $n, m \rightarrow \infty$.

$\Rightarrow (I(H^n))$ converges wrt $\|\cdot\|$ to an element in \mathcal{M}_c^2 (since $(\mathcal{M}_c^2, \|\cdot\|)$ is complete). Set $I(H)$ to be this element.

WTS: I is well-defined.

Suppose that (K^n) in \mathcal{S} converges to H wrt $\|\cdot\|_{L^2(\mathcal{M})}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \|I(H^n) - I(K^n)\| &= \|H^n \cdot M - K^n \cdot M\| \\ &= \|H^n - K^n\|_{L^2(\mathcal{M})} \leq \|H^n - H\|_{L^2(\mathcal{M})} + \|K^n - H\|_{L^2(\mathcal{M})} \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$ so that the limits of $I(H^n), I(K^n)$ are indistinguishable.

WTS: I is an isometry $L^2(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_c^2$.

$$(H^n) \text{ in } \mathcal{S}, H^n \rightarrow H \in L^2(\mathcal{M}), \|I(H)\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|I(H^n)\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|H^n\|_{L^2(\mathcal{M})} = \|H\|_{L^2(\mathcal{M})}. \quad \square$$

$$I(H)_t = (H \cdot M)_t = \int_0^t H_s dM_s$$

This process $H \cdot M$ is the Ito (Stochastic) integral of H wrt M .

Extensions: Our goal now is to extend the definition of $H \cdot M$ to the setting that H is locally bounded and $M \in \mathcal{M}_{loc}^2$. Need to understand how the integral behaves under stopping.

Proposition: $H \in \mathcal{S}, M \in \mathcal{M}$. Then for any stopping time T we have that $H \cdot (M)_T = (H \cdot M)_T^T$.

Proof: We have that:

$$\begin{aligned} (H \cdot (M)_T)_t &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} Z_k (M_{t \wedge T_{k+1}} - M_{t \wedge T_k}) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} Z_k (M_{t \wedge T_{k+1} \wedge T} - M_{t \wedge T_k \wedge T}) \\ &= (H \cdot M)_{t \wedge T} = (H \cdot M)_t^T. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Proposition: let $M \in \mathcal{M}_c^2, H \in L^2(\mathcal{M}), T$ stopping time. Then, $(H \cdot M)_T^T = (H \cdot \mathbb{1}_{[0, T]} \cdot M) = (H \cdot (M)_T)^T$.

Proof: First note that if $H \in L^2(\mathcal{M})$, then: $H \cdot \mathbb{1}_{[0, T]} \in L^2(\mathcal{M})$ and $H \in L^2(\mathcal{M}_T^T)$ so the integrals make sense.

Step 1: $H \in \mathcal{S}, M \in \mathcal{M}_c^2, T$ takes on finitely many values. Then $H \cdot \mathbb{1}_{[0, T]} \in \mathcal{S}$ and $(H \cdot M)_T^T = (H \cdot \mathbb{1}_{[0, T]} \cdot M) = H \cdot (M)_T^T$.

Step 2: $H \in \mathcal{S}, M \in \mathcal{M}_c^2, T$ general stopping time. Previous proposition $\Rightarrow (H \cdot M)_T^T = H \cdot (M)_T^T$.

WTS: $(H \cdot M)_T^T = (H \cdot \mathbb{1}_{[0, T]} \cdot M)$. Will prove via an approximation argument. For $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $T_{n,m} = (2^{-n} \lfloor 2^n T \rfloor) \wedge m$. Then $T_{n,m}$ takes finitely many values and $T_{n,m} \rightarrow T$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Thus, $\|H \cdot \mathbb{1}_{[0, T_{n,m}]} - H \cdot \mathbb{1}_{[0, T]}\|_{L^2(\mathcal{M})}^2 = \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^\infty H_t^2 \mathbb{1}_{(T_{n,m}, T]} d[M]_t \right] \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ (DCT, dominating function: H_t^2). $\Rightarrow (H \cdot \mathbb{1}_{[0, T_{n,m}]} \cdot M) \rightarrow (H \cdot \mathbb{1}_{[0, T]} \cdot M)$ in \mathcal{M}_c^2 as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Step 1: LHS = $(H \cdot M)_{T_{n,m}}^T$. $(H \cdot M)_{T_{n,m}}^T \rightarrow (H \cdot M)_{T_{n,m}}^T$ pointwise a.s. by continuity of $H \cdot M$.

Thus, $(H \cdot \mathbb{1}_{[0, T_{n,m}]} \cdot M) = (H \cdot M)_{T_{n,m}}^T$.

LECTURE 11

Proposition: let $M \in \mathcal{M}_c^2$, $H \in L^2(M)$, and let T be a stopping time. Then

$$(H \cdot M)^T = (H \cdot \mathbb{1}_{[0, T]}) \cdot M = H \cdot M^T$$

Proof: Step 1: $H \in \mathcal{S}$, $H \in \mathcal{M}_c^2$, T takes finitely many values.

Step 2: $H \in \mathcal{S}$, $M \in \mathcal{M}_c^2$, T general stopping time.

Previous proposition $\Rightarrow (H \cdot M)^T = H \cdot M^T$. ANS:

$$(H \cdot M)^T = H \cdot \mathbb{1}_{[0, T]} \cdot M$$

Let $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $T_{n,m} = 2^{-n} \lfloor 2^n T \rfloor \wedge m$ so that $T_{n,m}$ takes on finitely many values.

Moreover, $T_{n,m} \downarrow T \wedge m$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Then $\|H \cdot \mathbb{1}_{[0, T_{n,m}]} - H \cdot \mathbb{1}_{[0, T \wedge m]}\|_M$
 $= \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^{\infty} H_t^2 \cdot \mathbb{1}_{[0, T_{n,m}]} \cdot \mathbb{1}_{[0, T \wedge m]} d[M]_t \right] \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$
 by the dominated convergence theorem with dominating function H_t^2 .

It's isometry $\Rightarrow H \cdot \mathbb{1}_{[0, T_{n,m}]} \cdot M \rightarrow H \cdot \mathbb{1}_{[0, T \wedge m]} \cdot M$ in \mathcal{M}_c^2 as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

By Step 1, $H \cdot \mathbb{1}_{[0, T_{n,m}]} \cdot M = (H \cdot M)^{T_{n,m}} \rightarrow (H \cdot M)^{T \wedge m}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ since $H \cdot M$ is continuous.

$\Rightarrow H \cdot \mathbb{1}_{[0, T \wedge m]} \cdot M = (H \cdot M)^{T \wedge m}$ $\forall m \geq 1$.

Repeat the same argument, send $m \rightarrow \infty$

$\Rightarrow H \cdot \mathbb{1}_{[0, T]} \cdot M = (H \cdot M)^T$

Step 3: $H \in L^2(M)$, $M \in \mathcal{M}_c^2$, T general stopping time.

Let (H^n) be a sequence in \mathcal{S} with $H^n \rightarrow H$ in $L^2(M)$.

Then: $\|(H^n \cdot M)^T - (H \cdot M)^T\| = \|(H^n \cdot M)_T - (H \cdot M)_T\|_{L^2}$
 $\leq \left\| \sup_{t \leq T} (H^n \cdot M)_t - (H \cdot M)_t \right\|_{L^2}$

$\leq 2 \cdot \| (H^n \cdot M)_\infty - (H \cdot M)_\infty \|_{L^2}$ (Doob's L^2 -ineq.)

$= 2 \cdot \| (H^n - H) \cdot M \| = 2 \cdot \| H^n - H \|_M \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$

$\Rightarrow (H^n \cdot M)^T \rightarrow (H \cdot M)^T$ in \mathcal{M}_c^2 .

On the other hand,

$$\|H^n \cdot \mathbb{1}_{[0, T]} - H \cdot \mathbb{1}_{[0, T]}\|_M^2 = \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^{\infty} (H^n - H)_t^2 \cdot \mathbb{1}_{[0, T]} d[M]_t \right]$$

$$\leq \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^{\infty} (H_t^n - H_t)^2 d[M]_t \right] = \|H^n - H\|_M^2 \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

$\Rightarrow H^n \cdot \mathbb{1}_{[0, T]} \cdot M \rightarrow H \cdot \mathbb{1}_{[0, T]} \cdot M$ in \mathcal{M}_c^2 by the Itô isometry. Since $(H^n \cdot M)^T = H^n \cdot \mathbb{1}_{[0, T]} \cdot M$ for all n , we have that $(H \cdot M)^T = H \cdot \mathbb{1}_{[0, T]} \cdot M$

ANS: $(H \cdot M)^T = (H \cdot M^T)$, assume

$\exists (H^n)$ in \mathcal{S} s.t. $H^n \rightarrow H$ in $L^2(M)$.

$$\|H^n - H\|_M^2 = \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^{\infty} (H_t^n - H_t)^2 d[M]_t \right]$$

$$= \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^{\infty} (H_t^n - H_t)^2 \cdot \mathbb{1}_{[0, T]} d[M]_t \right]$$

$$\leq \|H^n - H\|_M^2 \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

$\Rightarrow H^n \cdot M^T \rightarrow H \cdot M^T$ in \mathcal{M}_c^2 by Itô isometry.

Since $(H^n \cdot M)^T = H^n \cdot M^T$ for all n , we get that $(H \cdot M)^T = H \cdot M^T$ \square

Definition: We say that a predictable process H is locally bounded if \exists a sequence $(S_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of stopping times where $S_n \uparrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $H \cdot \mathbb{1}_{[0, S_n]}$ is bounded for all n .

Remark: Every continuous adapted process is predictable and locally bounded.

Definition: let H be a locally bounded, predictable process with $H \cdot \mathbb{1}_{[0, S_n]}$ bounded for all n where (S_n) is a sequence of stopping times with $S_n \uparrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Let $M \in \mathcal{M}_{c,loc}$ with $M_0 = 0$ and let $S_n' = \inf \{t \geq 0 : |M_t| \geq n\}$ so that $M_{S_n'} \in \mathcal{M}_c^2$ for all n . Let $T_n = S_n \wedge S_n'$ and set

$$(H \cdot M)_t = (H \cdot \mathbb{1}_{[0, T_n]} \cdot M)_{T_n} \quad \forall t \in [0, T_n]$$

Using the previous proposition, this definition is well-defined and is consistent with the Itô integral with $M \in \mathcal{M}_c^2$, $H \in L^2(M)$.

Proposition: let $M \in \mathcal{M}_{c,loc}$, H locally bounded and predictable, then $H \cdot M \in \mathcal{M}_{c,loc}$ where the sequence (T_n) is a reducing sequence. Moreover for any stopping time T , we have that

$$(H \cdot M)^T = H \cdot \mathbb{1}_{[0, T]} \cdot M = H \cdot M^T$$

Proof: that $H \cdot M \in \mathcal{M}_{c,loc}$ with reducing sequence (T_n) follows from the definition of $H \cdot M$. For any stopping time T ,

$$(H \cdot M)^T = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (H \cdot \mathbb{1}_{[0, T_n]} \cdot M)_{T_n}^T$$

(pointwise limit)
 (previous propⁿ) $= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (H \cdot \mathbb{1}_{[0, T]} \cdot \mathbb{1}_{[0, T_n]} \cdot M^T)$

$$= H \cdot \mathbb{1}_{[0, T]} \cdot M$$

Same argument $\Rightarrow (H \cdot M)^T = H \cdot M^T$ \square

$H \cdot M \in \mathcal{M}_{c,loc}$

$$[H \cdot M] = H^2 \cdot [M]$$

\uparrow Itô integral $\quad \uparrow$ Lebesgue-Stieltjes integral

LECTURE 12

Today: $[H \cdot M] = H^2 \cdot [M]$, $H \cdot (K \cdot M) = (HK) \cdot M$, semimartingales.

Proposition: Let $M \in \mathcal{M}_{c,loc}$ and H locally bounded & previsible. Then $[H \cdot M] = H^2 \cdot [M]$

Proof: Suppose that T is a bounded stopping time, H, M are uniformly bounded. Then: \square
 $\mathbb{E} [H \cdot M]_T^2 = \mathbb{E} [(H \cdot \Delta(\text{var})) \cdot M]_\infty$
 (ITB isometry) $= \mathbb{E} [(H^2 \cdot \Delta(\text{var})) \cdot [M]]_\infty$
 $= \mathbb{E} [(H^2 \cdot [M])_T]$

OST: $(H \cdot M)^2 - H^2 \cdot [M] \in \mathcal{M}_c$.
 Uniqueness of quadratic variation \Rightarrow
 $[H \cdot M] = H^2 \cdot [M]$.

Now assume that H is locally bounded, previsible, $M \in \mathcal{M}_{c,loc}$. Let (T_n) be a sequence of stopping times so that $H \cdot \mathbb{1}_{[0, T_n]}$, M^{T_n} are bounded & $T_n \uparrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 [H \cdot M] &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [H \cdot M]^{T_n} \\
 &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [(H \cdot M)^{T_n}] \quad (\text{uniqueness of quadratic variation}) \\
 &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [(H \cdot \mathbb{1}_{[0, T_n]} \cdot M)] \\
 &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} H^2 \cdot \Delta(\text{var}) \cdot [M]^{T_n} \\
 &= H^2 \cdot [M] \quad (\text{applying MGT}) \quad \square
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $H \cdot M \in \mathcal{M}_{c,loc}$ for $M \in \mathcal{M}_{c,loc}$, H locally bounded, previsible, we can integrate against it.

Proposition: Let $M \in \mathcal{M}_{c,loc}$, H, K locally bounded, previsible. Then:

$$H \cdot (K \cdot M) = (HK) \cdot M.$$

Proof: Elementary to check that this holds for H, K simple processes. (*) Now suppose that H, K, M are uniformly bounded.

NTS: $H \in L^2(K \cdot M)$, $HK \in L^2(M)$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|H\|_{L^2(K \cdot M)}^2 &= \mathbb{E} [(H^2 \cdot [K \cdot M])_\infty] \\
 &= \mathbb{E} [(H^2 \cdot (K^2 \cdot [M]))_\infty] \\
 (\text{Lebesgue-Stieltjes}) &= \mathbb{E} [((HK)^2 \cdot [M])_\infty] \\
 &= \|HK\|_{L^2(M)}^2 \\
 &\leq \min \{ \|H\|_{L^\infty}^2 \cdot \|K\|_{L^\infty}^2, \|K\|_{L^\infty}^2 \cdot \|H\|_{L^\infty}^2 \} < \infty
 \end{aligned}$$

Let $(H^n), (K^n)$ be sequences in \mathcal{S} which converge to H, K in $L^2(M)$ and which $(H^n), (K^n)$ uniformly bounded. Then:

$$\begin{aligned}
 H^n \cdot (K^n \cdot M) &= (H^n K^n) \cdot M \\
 \text{Then: } \|H^n \cdot (K^n \cdot M) - H \cdot (K \cdot M)\| &\leq \| (H^n - H) \cdot (K^n \cdot M) \| + \| H \cdot (K^n - K) \cdot M \| \\
 &= \|H^n - H\|_{L^2(K^n \cdot M)} + \|H \cdot \|_{L^2((K^n - K) \cdot M)} \quad (\text{ITB isometry}) \\
 (\text{see above}) &= \| (H^n - H) \cdot K^n \|_{L^2(M)} + \|H \cdot (K^n - K)\|_{L^2(M)} \\
 &\leq \|K^n\|_{L^\infty} \cdot \|H^n - H\|_{L^2(M)} + \|H\|_{L^\infty} \cdot \|K^n - K\|_{L^2(M)} \\
 &\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.
 \end{aligned}$$

Similar argument $\Rightarrow (H^n K^n) \cdot M \rightarrow (HK) \cdot M$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in \mathcal{M}_c .
 $\Rightarrow H \cdot (K \cdot M) = (HK) \cdot M$ (bounded case).

Now suppose that H, K are locally bounded, previsible and $M \in \mathcal{M}_{c,loc}$. Let (T_n) be a sequence of stopping times so that $H \cdot \mathbb{1}_{[0, T_n]}$, $K \cdot \mathbb{1}_{[0, T_n]}$, M^{T_n} are bounded and $T_n \uparrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Then } H \cdot \mathbb{1}_{[0, T_n]} \cdot (K \cdot \mathbb{1}_{[0, T_n]} \cdot M^{T_n}) &= HK \cdot \mathbb{1}_{[0, T_n]} \cdot M^{T_n} \\
 \text{Also } K \cdot \mathbb{1}_{[0, T_n]} \cdot M^{T_n} &= (K \cdot M)^{T_n}. \text{ Hence,} \\
 H \cdot \mathbb{1}_{[0, T_n]} \cdot (K \cdot \mathbb{1}_{[0, T_n]} \cdot M^{T_n}) &= H \cdot \mathbb{1}_{[0, T_n]} \cdot (K \cdot M)^{T_n} \\
 &= (H \cdot (K \cdot M))^{T_n} \rightarrow H \cdot (K \cdot M) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \\
 \text{Also, } (HK \cdot \mathbb{1}_{[0, T_n]} \cdot M^{T_n}) &= (HK \cdot M)^{T_n} \\
 &\rightarrow (HK \cdot M) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \\
 \Rightarrow H \cdot (K \cdot M) &= (HK) \cdot M \quad \square
 \end{aligned}$$

Remark: We have repeatedly used a "localisation" argument to reduce everything to the setting of a bounded integral and M.G. This is a standard procedure; will omit in later arguments.

Semimartingales: Definition: A continuous, adapted process X is a semimartingale if it can be decomposed as

$$X = X_0 + M + A, \quad M \in \mathcal{M}_{c,loc}, \quad A \text{ finite variation}, \quad M_0 = A_0 = 0.$$

"Doob-Meyer decomposition". For a continuous semi-MG $X = X_0 + M + A$, define its quadratic variation by $[X] := [M]$.

Justified: $\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |t_{k+1} - t_k| (X_{t_{k+1}} - X_{t_k})^2 \rightarrow [M]_t$ ucp as $n \rightarrow \infty$ (Ex. sheet).

Definition: For H locally bounded and previsible, $X = X_0 + M + A$ cont. semi-MG, define:

$$H \cdot X = \underbrace{H \cdot M}_{\text{ITB}} + \underbrace{H \cdot A}_{\text{Leb-Stieltjes}}$$

Then $H \cdot X$ is a semi-MG.

Proposition: Let X be a cont. semi-martingale and H locally bounded, left-continuous & adapted. Then:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |t_{k+1} - t_k| H_{t_k} (X_{t_{k+1}} - X_{t_k}) \rightarrow (H \cdot X)_t \quad \text{ucp as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Proof: See the typed lecture notes.

(*) By linearity in each argument, suffices to check for H, K consisting of single time intervals and noting that for $0 \leq s' \leq t', 0 \leq s \leq t$, $\mathbb{1}_{(s', t']} \cdot \mathbb{1}_{(s, t]} = \mathbb{1}_{(s', t']} \cdot \mathbb{1}_{(s, t']}$

LECTURE 13

Summary of the Stochastic Integral

Step 1: $H \in \mathcal{S}$, $H_t = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} Z_k \cdot \mathbb{1}_{(t_k, t_{k+1}]}(t)$,
 Z_k bounded, \mathcal{F}_{t_k} -measurable, $M \in \mathcal{M}_c^2$ set:
 $(H \cdot M)_t = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} Z_k \cdot (M_{t_{k+1}} - M_{t_k})$.
 Then $H \cdot M \in \mathcal{M}_c^2$.

Step 2: Equip \mathcal{M}_c^2 with a Hilbert space structure with norm $\|M\| = \|M_0\|_{L^2}$, $M \in \mathcal{M}_c^2$.

Step 3: Establish the existence of $[M]$, $M \in \mathcal{M}_c, \text{loc}$, where $[M]$ is the unique adapted, non-decreasing continuous process with $[M]_0 = 0$ so that $M^2 - [M] \in \mathcal{M}_c, \text{loc}$.

Step 4: For $M \in \mathcal{M}_c^2$, used $[M]$ to define a Hilbert space $(L^2(M), \|\cdot\|_M)$ where
 $\|H\|_M = (\mathbb{E} \int_0^\infty H_s^2 d[M]_s)^{1/2}$

Step 5: Extend the integral to $H \in L^2(M)$, $M \in \mathcal{M}_c^2$ using the Ito isometry:
 $\|H \cdot M\| = \|H\|_M$
 $H \cdot M \in \mathcal{M}_c$ for all $H \in L^2(M)$, $M \in \mathcal{M}_c^2$.

Step 6: Extended to H locally bounded & previsible, $M \in \mathcal{M}_c, \text{loc}$ by setting $(H \cdot M)_t = (H \cdot \mathbb{1}_{[0, T_n]} \cdot M^{\mathbb{1}_n})_t$
 $\forall t \leq T_n$,

Step 7: Extend to H locally bounded, previsible and $X = X_0 + M + A$ a continuous semi MG by setting $H \cdot X = \underbrace{H \cdot M}_{\text{Ito}} + \underbrace{H \cdot A}_{\text{Lebesgue-Stieltjes}}$
 then $H \cdot X$ is a cont. semi MG.

Stochastic Calculus:

Definition: for $M, N \in \mathcal{M}_c, \text{loc}$ define the covariation of M, N by setting:

$$[M, N] = \frac{1}{4} ([M+N]^2 - [M-N]^2)$$

(Polarization identity)

Note that: $[M, M] = [M]$.

Theorem: let $M, N \in \mathcal{M}_c, \text{loc}$. Then:

- a) $[M, N]$ is the unique process (up to indistinguishability) continuous, adapted, finite-variation process with $[M, N]_0 = 0$ so that $MN - [M, N] \in \mathcal{M}_c, \text{loc}$.
- b) For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, set
 $[M, N]_t^n = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (M_{(k+1)2^{-n}} - M_{k2^{-n}}) \cdot (N_{(k+1)2^{-n}} - N_{k2^{-n}})$
 then $[M, N]_t^n \rightarrow [M, N]_t$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ ucp.
- c) If $M, N \in \mathcal{M}_c^2$, then $MN - [M, N]$ is a UI MG.
- d) for H locally bounded, previsible
 $[H \cdot M, N] + [M, H \cdot N] = 2H \cdot [M, N]$

Proof: a) $MN = 1/4 ((M+N)^2 - (M-N)^2)$ so

$$\otimes MN - [M, N] = \underbrace{1/4 ((M+N)^2 - [M+N])}_{\in \mathcal{M}_c, \text{loc}} - \underbrace{1/4 ((M-N)^2 - [M-N])}_{\in \mathcal{M}_c, \text{loc}}$$

$\Rightarrow MN - [M, N] \in \mathcal{M}_c, \text{loc}$. By definition, $[M, N]$ continuous, adapted and finite variation (difference of non-decreasing functions). Same argument used to prove the uniqueness of covariation.

b) Note: $[M, N]_t^n = 1/4 ([M+N]_t^n - [M-N]_t^n)$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{matrix} \downarrow \text{ucp} & & \downarrow \text{ucp} & & \downarrow \text{ucp} \\ [M, N] & & [M+N] & & [M-N] \end{matrix}$$

c) $MN - [M, N]$ is a UI MG for $M, N \in \mathcal{M}_c^2$ follows from \otimes and the corresponding property for quadratic variation.

d) $[H \cdot (M+N)] = H \cdot [M+N]$

$$\Rightarrow [H \cdot M, H \cdot N] = H \cdot [M, N]$$

Moreover, $(H+1) \cdot [M, N] = [(H+1) \cdot M, (H+1) \cdot N]$

$$\stackrel{\text{ES2}}{=} [H \cdot M + M, H \cdot N + N]$$

$$\stackrel{\text{bilinearity}}{=} [H \cdot M, H \cdot N] + [M, H \cdot N] + [H \cdot M, N] + [M, N]$$

$$\text{and } (H+1) \cdot [M, N] = (H^2 + 2H + 1) \cdot [M, N]$$

$$= H^2 \cdot [M, N] + 2H \cdot [M, N] + [M, N]$$

$$\Rightarrow 2H \cdot [M, N] = [M, H \cdot N] + [H \cdot M, N] \quad \square$$

Proposition: (Kunita-Watanabe identity)

Let $M, N \in \mathcal{M}_c, \text{loc}$, H locally bounded, previsible, then $[H \cdot M, N] = H \cdot [M, N]$.

Proof: NBS: $[H \cdot M, M] = [M, H \cdot N]$ as then we can apply part d) of the previous theorem.

Use that: $(H \cdot M)N - [H \cdot M, N] \in \mathcal{M}_c, \text{loc}$
 $M(H \cdot N) - [M, H \cdot N] \in \mathcal{M}_c, \text{loc}$.

Will show that: $(H \cdot M)N - M(H \cdot N) \in \mathcal{M}_c, \text{loc}$

Suffices since then $[H \cdot M, N] - [M, H \cdot N] \in \mathcal{M}_c, \text{loc}$ with finite variation and starts from 0

$$\Rightarrow [H \cdot M, N] = [M, H \cdot N]$$

Localization: wlog $M, N \in \mathcal{M}_c^2$, H bounded. By OST, suffices to show that for bounded stopping time T ,

$$\mathbb{E}[(H \cdot M)_T N_T] = \mathbb{E}[M_T (H \cdot N)_T]$$

$$\text{LHS} = \mathbb{E}[(H \cdot M)_{\infty} N_{\infty}^+], \text{RHS} = \mathbb{E}[M_{\infty} (H \cdot N)_{\infty}^+]$$

Suffices to show that $\mathbb{E}[(H \cdot M)_{\infty} N_{\infty}^+] = \mathbb{E}[M_{\infty} (H \cdot N)_{\infty}^+]$

$\forall M, N \in \mathcal{M}_c^2$, bounded H . \otimes

Suppose that $H = Z \cdot \mathbb{1}_{(t_s, t_s]}$, $Z \in \mathcal{F}_s$ -measurable, bounded.

$$\mathbb{E}[(H \cdot M)_{\infty} N_{\infty}^+] = \mathbb{E}[Z(M_{t_s} - M_{t_s}) N_{\infty}^+]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}[Z M_{t_s} \mathbb{E}[N_{\infty}^+ | \mathcal{F}_{t_s}] - Z M_{t_s} \mathbb{E}[N_{\infty}^+ | \mathcal{F}_{t_s}]]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}[Z(M_{t_s} N_{t_s} - M_{t_s} N_{t_s})]$$

same argument $\rightarrow \mathbb{E}[M_{\infty} (H \cdot N)_{\infty}^+] =$

Proves \otimes for $H = Z \cdot \mathbb{1}_{(t_s, t_s]}$. Linearity gives \otimes for $H \in \mathcal{S}$.

LECTURE 14

Proof (Kunita-Watanabe): Last time: by localization and OST, reduced the proposition to $\mathbb{E}[H \cdot (M \cdot N)_\infty] = \mathbb{E}[M \cdot (H \cdot N)_\infty]$ \otimes and proved \otimes for $H = \mathbb{Z} \cdot \mathbb{1}(s, t]$ for $s < t$, \mathbb{Z} \mathcal{F}_s -measurable, bounded. By linearity, \otimes holds for all $H \in \mathcal{S}$. Suppose that H is a bounded previsible process. Then there exists a sequence (H^n) in \mathcal{S} so that $H^n \rightarrow H$ in $(\mathcal{M}, L^2(N))$ [in the lemma where we showed that \mathcal{S} are dense in $L^2(\mathcal{P}, \nu)$, ν finite, to be given by $\nu(E) = \mathbb{E}[\int_0^\infty \mathbb{1}(E) (d[M]_s + d[N]_s)]$]
 $\Rightarrow H \cdot M \rightarrow H^n \cdot M, H^n \cdot N \rightarrow H \cdot N$ in $\|\cdot\|$ -norm.
 $\Rightarrow (H^n \cdot M)_\infty \rightarrow (H \cdot M)_\infty$ and in L^2
 $(H^n \cdot N)_\infty \rightarrow (H \cdot N)_\infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
 Thus, $\|((H^n \cdot M)_\infty - (H \cdot M)_\infty) N_\infty\|_{L^1}$
 $(C-S) \leq \| (H^n \cdot M)_\infty - (H \cdot M)_\infty \|_{L^2} \cdot \|N_\infty\|_{L^2}$
 $\xrightarrow{\infty, n \rightarrow \infty}$
 $\Rightarrow \mathbb{E}[(H^n \cdot M)_\infty N_\infty] \rightarrow \mathbb{E}[(H \cdot M)_\infty N_\infty]$
 Same works with M, N swapped $\Rightarrow \otimes \quad \square$

Definition: For continuous semi-MGs X, Y define $[X, Y]$ to be the covariation of their MG parts.

• this is justified as:

$$[X, Y]_t^n = \sum_{k=0}^{[2^{2n}t]-1} (X_{(k+1) \cdot 2^{-n}} - X_{k \cdot 2^{-n}}) \cdot (Y_{(k+1) \cdot 2^{-n}} - Y_{k \cdot 2^{-n}})$$

$\xrightarrow{\quad} [X, Y]_t$ ucp as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

• Kunita-Watanabe also holds for semi-MGs.

Proposition: Let X, Y be independent semi-MGs, then their covariation $[X, Y] = 0$.

Proof: ES2.

Itô's Formula

Theorem: (Integration by parts) Let X, Y be continuous semi-MGs. Then:

$$\otimes \quad X_t Y_t - X_0 Y_0 = \int_0^t X_s dY_s + \int_0^t Y_s dX_s + [X, Y]_t$$

Proof: Note that the integrals are well-defined since any continuous, adapted process is locally bounded and previsible.

Note that for $s \leq t$, we have that

$$X_t Y_t - X_s Y_s = X_s (Y_t - Y_s) + Y_s (X_t - X_s) + (X_t - X_s)(Y_t - Y_s)$$

Since the LHS, RHS of \otimes are continuous, suffice to prove the result for t of the form

$$t = m \cdot 2^{-j}, m, j \in \mathbb{N} \quad (n \geq j)$$

$$X_t Y_t - X_0 Y_0 = \sum_{k=0}^{m \cdot 2^{n-j}-1} (X_{k \cdot 2^{-n}} (Y_{(k+1) \cdot 2^{-n}} - Y_{k \cdot 2^{-n}}) + Y_{k \cdot 2^{-n}} (X_{(k+1) \cdot 2^{-n}} - X_{k \cdot 2^{-n}}) + (X_{(k+1) \cdot 2^{-n}} - X_{k \cdot 2^{-n}}) (Y_{(k+1) \cdot 2^{-n}} - Y_{k \cdot 2^{-n}}))$$

$$\rightarrow (X \cdot Y)_t + (Y \cdot X)_t + [X, Y]_t \text{ ucp as } j \rightarrow \infty \quad \square$$

Note that $[X, Y]$ term does not appear if either X, Y are independent or if X or Y does not have a MG part.

Theorem: (Itô's formula). Let (X^1, \dots, X^d) where each X^i for $1 \leq i \leq d$ is a continuous semi-MG. Let $f: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be C^2 . Then, $f(X_t) = f(X_0) + \sum_{i=1}^d \int_0^t \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(X_s) dX_s^i + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^d \int_0^t \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(X_s) d[X^i, X^j]_s$.

Remarks: (1) Integration by parts is a special case of Itô's formula with $f(x,y) = x \cdot y$.

(2) For $d=1$, Itô's formula is: $f(X_t) = f(X_0) + \int_0^t f'(X_s) dX_s + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t f''(X_s) d[X]_s$.

Possible to derive using Taylor expansions since:

$$f(X_t) = f(X_0) + \sum_{k=0}^{[2^{2n}t]-1} (f(X_{(k+1) \cdot 2^{-n}}) - f(X_{k \cdot 2^{-n}})) + (f(X_t) - f(X_{[2^{-n}t]}))$$

$$= f(X_0) + \sum_{k=0}^{[2^{2n}t]-1} f'(X_{k \cdot 2^{-n}}) (X_{(k+1) \cdot 2^{-n}} - X_{k \cdot 2^{-n}}) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{[2^{2n}t]-1} f''(X_{k \cdot 2^{-n}}) \cdot (X_{(k+1) \cdot 2^{-n}} - X_{k \cdot 2^{-n}})^2$$

$$+ \text{error} \rightarrow f(X_0) + \int_0^t f'(X_s) dX_s + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t f''(X_s) d[X]_s$$

ucp as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Will prove it a different way since the extra error term is inconvenient to deal with.

Examples: (1) $X = B$, B standard Brownian motion, $f(x) = x^2$. Then: $f(X_t) = f(B_0) + \int_0^t f'(B_s) dB_s + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t f''(B_s) d[B]_s$.

$$= 0 + \int_0^t 2 B_s dB_s + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t 2 ds = 2 \int_0^t B_s dB_s + t$$

$$\Rightarrow B_t^2 - t = 2 \int_0^t B_s dB_s \in \mathcal{M}_{c,loc}$$

(2) let $f: \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be $C^{1,2}$ and

$X_t = (t, B_t^1, \dots, B_t^d)$ where B_t^1, \dots, B_t^d are independent Brownian motions. By Itô's formula,

$$f(t, B_t) - f(0, B_0) = \int_0^t \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \right) f(s, B_s) ds$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^d \int_0^t \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} f(s, B_s) dB_s^i \in \mathcal{M}_{c,loc}$$

\uparrow \uparrow
 first coord \quad last d -coords
 \uparrow
 \uparrow in i th spatial coordinate.

If f does not depend on t and is harmonic in spatial variables then $f(t, B_t) \in \mathcal{M}_{c,loc}$. If f is bounded, then $f(B_t)$ is a MG.

LECTURE 15

Proof (Ito's formula):

We are doing the proof for $d=1$; the case $d>1$ is left as an exercise. Let

$X = X_0 + M + A$ and let V be the total variation of A .

Let $T_r = \inf\{t \geq 0: |X_t| + |V_t| + [M]_t > r\}$.

for each $r > 0$. Then (T_r) is a sequence of stopping times with $T_r \uparrow \infty$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$. It

suffices to prove the formulae in $[0, T_r]$ for each $r > 0$. Let \mathcal{A} be the subset of $C^2(\mathbb{R})$ so that the formula holds. WTS:

$\mathcal{A} = C^2(\mathbb{R})$. Will prove by showing that:

a) \mathcal{A} contains $f(x) \equiv 1, \phi(x) \equiv x$.

b) \mathcal{A} is a vector space.

c) \mathcal{A} is an algebra, i.e., $f, g \in \mathcal{A} \Rightarrow fg \in \mathcal{A}$.

d) If (f_n) is a sequence in \mathcal{A} with $f_n \rightarrow f$ in $C^2(\mathbb{B}_r)$ for each $r > 0$

($\mathbb{B}_r = \{x \in \mathbb{R}: |x| \leq r\}$), then $f \in \mathcal{A}$.

Here, $f_n \rightarrow f$ in $C^2(\mathbb{B}_r)$ means that with

$$\Delta_{nr} := \sup_{x \in \mathbb{B}_r} |f_n - f| + \sup_{x \in \mathbb{B}_r} |f'_n - f'| + \sup_{x \in \mathbb{B}_r} |f''_n - f''|$$

we have that $\Delta_{nr} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for each $r > 0$.

a), b), c) \Rightarrow polynomials are in \mathcal{A} . Weierstrass approximation theorem \Rightarrow polynomials are dense in $C^2(\mathbb{B}_r)$ for $r > 0$, so d) $\Rightarrow \mathcal{A} = C^2(\mathbb{R})$.

That a), b) hold is easy to see.

Proof of c): suppose $f, g \in \mathcal{A}$. Let $F_t = f(X_t), G_t = g(X_t)$. Ito's formula holds for $f, g \Rightarrow F, G$ are continuous semi-M.G.'s.

Integration by parts:

$$F_t G_t - F_0 G_0 = \int_0^t F_s dG_s + \int_0^t G_s dF_s + \frac{1}{2} [FG]_t$$

Since Ito's formula holds for g , we have that

$$\int_0^t F_s dG_s = \int_0^t F_s d\left(\int_0^s g'(X_u) dX_u + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^s g''(X_u) d[X]_u\right)$$

$$H \cdot (K \circ M) = (H \cdot K) \cdot M$$

$$(2) \int_0^t f(X_s) g'(X_s) dX_s + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t f(X_s) g''(X_s) d[X]_s$$

$$(3) \int_0^t G_s dF_s = \int_0^t f'(X_s) g(X_s) dX_s + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t f''(X_s) g(X_s) d[X]_s$$

$$[FG]_t = [f(X), g(X)]_t = [f'(X) \cdot X, g'(X) \cdot X] \leftarrow \text{by def'n of cov. \& Ito's formula.}$$

$$\text{(Kunita-Watanabe)} = \int_0^t f'(X_s) g'(X_s) d[X]_s. \quad (4)$$

Plug (2)-(4) into (1) gives Ito's formula for fg , i.e. $fg \in \mathcal{A}$.

Proof of d): Suppose that (f_n) is a sequence in \mathcal{A} and $f_n \rightarrow f$ in $C^2(\mathbb{B}_r)$ for $r > 0$.

WTS: Ito's formula for f , i.e. $f \in \mathcal{A}$.

Since Ito's formula holds for f_n :

$$f_n(X_t) = f_n(X_0) + \int_0^t f'_n(X_s) dX_s + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t f''_n(X_s) d[X]_s$$

$$= f_n(X_0) + \left[\int_0^t f'_n(X_s) dA_s + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t f''_n(X_s) d[M]_s \right] + \int_0^t f'_n(X_s) dM_s$$

For the finite variation part:

$$\int_0^{t \wedge T_r} (f'_n(X_s) - f'(X_s)) dV_s + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{t \wedge T_r} (f''_n(X_s) - f''(X_s)) d[M]_s$$

$$\leq \Delta_{nr} \cdot (V_{t \wedge T_r} + \frac{1}{2} [M]_{t \wedge T_r})$$

$$\leq 2r \Delta_{nr} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

$$\Rightarrow \int_0^{t \wedge T_r} f'_n(X_s) dA_s + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{t \wedge T_r} f''_n(X_s) d[M]_s$$

$$\rightarrow \int_0^{t \wedge T_r} f'(X_s) dA_s + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{t \wedge T_r} f''(X_s) d[M]_s$$

uniform in t .

M.G. part: $M^{T_r} \in \mathcal{M}_c^2$ since $[M^{T_r}] \leq r$.

$$\| (f'_n(X) \cdot M)^{T_r} - (f'(X) \cdot M)^{T_r} \|^2 = E \left[\int_0^{T_r} (f'_n(X_s) - f'(X_s))^2 d[M]_s \right]$$

$$\leq \Delta_{nr}^2 \cdot E[[M]_{T_r}] \leq r \Delta_{nr}^2 \rightarrow 0, n \rightarrow \infty.$$

$$\Rightarrow (f'_n(X) \cdot M)^{T_r} \rightarrow (f'(X) \cdot M)^{T_r} \text{ in } \mathcal{M}_c^2 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

$$\Rightarrow f(X_{t \wedge T_r}) = f(X_0) + \int_0^{t \wedge T_r} f'(X_s) dX_s + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{t \wedge T_r} f''(X_s) d[X]_s \quad \square$$

Stratonovich Integral:

Let X, Y be continuous semi-M.G.s. The Stratonovich Integral of X against Y is defined as:

$$\int_0^t X_s \circ dY_s = \int_0^t X_s dY_s + \frac{1}{2} [X, Y]_t$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{(X_{(k+1) \cdot 2^{-n}} + X_{k \cdot 2^{-n}})(Y_{(k+1) \cdot 2^{-n}} - Y_{k \cdot 2^{-n}})}{2} \xrightarrow{u.c.p.} \int_0^t X_s \circ dY_s$$

Proposition: Let X^1, \dots, X^d be cont. semi-M.G.s and let $f: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be C^2 . Then

$$f(X_t) = f(X_0) + \sum_{i=1}^d \int_0^t \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(X_s) dX_s^i$$

In particular, integration by parts is given by:

$$X_t Y_t - X_0 Y_0 = \int_0^t X_s \circ dY_s + \int_0^t Y_s \circ dX_s$$

\Rightarrow Stratonovich satisfies the usual rules of calculus. But the Stratonovich integral against $M \in \mathcal{M}_{c,loc}$ is not in $\mathcal{M}_{c,loc}$.

For example, $\int_0^t B_s \circ dB_s = \int_0^t B_s dB_s + \frac{1}{2} t$

$$= \frac{1}{2} t^2 \notin \mathcal{M}_{c,loc}$$

For B a standard BM.

LECTURE 16

Stratonovich Integral:

$$\int_0^t X_s dY_s = \int_0^t X_s dY_s + \frac{1}{2} [X, Y]_t \text{ for } XY \text{ cont. semi MGs.}$$

Proposition: let X^1, \dots, X^d be cont. semi MGs, $X = (X^1, \dots, X^d)$, and let $f: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be C^3 . Then $f(X_t) = f(X_0) + \sum_{i=1}^d \int_0^t \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(X_s) dX_s^i$

In particular, $X_t Y_t - X_0 Y_0 = \int_0^t X_s dY_s + \int_0^t Y_s dX_s$

Proof: $d=1$; $d>1$ left as an exercise. Ito's formula: (1) $f(X_t) = f(X_0) + \int_0^t f'(X_s) dX_s + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t f''(X_s) d[X]_s$

(2) $f'(X_t) = f'(X_0) + \int_0^t f''(X_s) dX_s + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t f'''(X_s) d[X]_s$

$[f'(X), X] = [f''(X) \cdot X, X] = f''(X) \cdot [X]$

$\rightarrow f(X_t) = f(X_0) + \int_0^t f'(X_s) dX_s + \frac{1}{2} [f'(X), X]$
 $= f(X_0) + \int_0^t f'(X_s) dX_s \quad \square$

Shortcut:

$Z_t = Z_0 + \int_0^t H_s dX_s \Leftrightarrow dZ_t = H_t dX_t$
 $Z_t = Z_0 + \int_0^t H_s dX_s \Leftrightarrow dZ_t = H_t dX_t$
 $Z_t = [X, Y]_t = \int_0^t d[X, Y]_s \Leftrightarrow dZ_t = d[X, Y]_t$

Computational rules:

$H_t d(K_t dX_t) = (H_t K_t) dX_t$ [iterated integral]
 $H_t (dX_t dY_t) = d(H_t dX_t) dY_t$ [Kunita-Watanabe]
 $d(X_t Y_t) = X_t dY_t + Y_t dX_t + d[X, Y]_t$ [integration by parts]
 $df(X_t) = \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(X_t) dX_t^i + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^d \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(X_t) dX_t^i dX_t^j$ [Ito's formula]

Applications:

Theorem: (Lévy characterisation)

Let $X^1, \dots, X^d \in \mathcal{M}_{c,loc}$, $X = (X^1, \dots, X^d)$. Suppose $X_0 = 0$, $[X^i, X^j]_t = \delta_{ij} t \forall i, j, t \geq 0$. Then X is a standard BM.

Proof: NTS: for all $0 \leq s \leq t < \infty$, $X_t - X_s$ independent of \mathcal{F}_s and has the law of $N(0, \frac{1}{2} Id)$, where Id is the $d \times d$ identity matrix.

Equivalently,

$E[\exp(i\theta(X_t - X_s)) | \mathcal{F}_s] = \exp[-\frac{|\theta|^2}{2}(t-s)]$
 $\forall \theta \in \mathbb{R}^d, (0,0) = \text{Euclidean inner product.}$
 $|\theta|^2 = (\theta, \theta) \quad (*)$

For $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^d$, set $Y_t = (\theta, X_t) = \sum_{j=1}^d \theta_j X_t^j$. Then $Y \in \mathcal{M}_{c,loc}$ since $\mathcal{M}_{c,loc}$ is a vector space. Moreover, $[Y]_t = [Y, Y]_t = [\sum_{i=1}^d \theta_i X_t^i, \sum_{j=1}^d \theta_j X_t^j]_t$

$= \sum_{i,k=1}^d \theta_i \theta_k [X_t^i, X_t^k]_t$

$= |\theta|^2 t$

$Z_t = \exp[iY_t + \frac{1}{2}[Y]_t] = \exp[i(\theta, X_t) + \frac{1}{2}|\theta|^2 t]$

By Ito's formula applied to $W = iY_t + \frac{1}{2}[Y]_t$, $f(W) = C^0$, we have that:
 $dZ_t = Z_t (i dY_t + \frac{1}{2} d[Y]_t) - \frac{1}{2} Z_t d[Y]_t$
 $= i Z_t dY_t$

$\Rightarrow Z \in \mathcal{M}_{c,loc}$ since $Y \in \mathcal{M}_{c,loc}$.

Since Z is bounded on $[0, t]$ for $t \geq 0$, $Z \in \mathcal{M}_c$.

$\Rightarrow E[Z_t | \mathcal{F}_s] = Z_s$

$\Rightarrow E[\exp(i\theta \cdot (X_t - X_s)) | \mathcal{F}_s] = \exp(-\frac{1}{2}|\theta|^2(t-s)) \quad \square$

Theorem: (Dubins-Schwarz) Let $M \in \mathcal{M}_{c,loc}$ with $M_0 = 0$, $[M]_\infty = \infty$. Set $\tau_s = \inf\{t \geq 0 : [M]_t \geq s\}$, $\mathcal{B}_s = \mathcal{M}_{\tau_s}$, $\mathcal{G}_s = \mathcal{F}_{\tau_s}$. Then (τ_s) is an (\mathcal{F}_t) -stopping time and $[M]_{\tau_s} = s$ for all $s \geq 0$. Moreover, B is a (\mathcal{G}_s) -BM with $M_t = B_{[M]_t}$.

\Rightarrow every cts local MG starting from 0 is a time-change of a standard BM.

Proof: Since $[M]$ is continuous and adapted, τ_s is a stopping time for each $s \geq 0$. Since $[M]_\infty = \infty$, τ_s is a finite stopping time $\forall s \geq 0$.

Moreover, (\mathcal{G}_s) is a filtration since if $S \leq T$ are stopping times with $S \leq T$ then $\mathcal{F}_S \subseteq \mathcal{F}_T \Rightarrow$ hence $\mathcal{G}_S \subseteq \mathcal{G}_T$.

Step 1: B is adapted to (\mathcal{G}_s)

NTS: M_{τ_s} is \mathcal{F}_{τ_s} -measurable $\forall s \geq 0$. Recall from ESI, that if X is cadlag, adapted, T a stopping time then $X_{t \wedge T}$ is \mathcal{F}_T -meas. Apply for $X=M$, and $T=\tau_s$ and use that $\mathbb{1}_{\{\tau_s < \infty\}} = 1$.

Step 2: B is continuous.

$s \mapsto \tau_s$ is non-decreasing and cadlag, so it follows that B is cadlag $[B_s = M_{\tau_s}]$. To prove that B is cts, NTS: $B_{s-} = B_s \forall s \geq 0$.

$\Leftrightarrow M_{\tau_{s-}} = M_{\tau_s} \forall s \geq 0$ where $\tau_{s-} = \inf\{t \geq 0 : [M]_t = s\}$. If $\tau_s = \tau_{s-}$, nothing to prove. If $\tau_s > \tau_{s-}$, then $[M]$ is constant on $[\tau_{s-}, \tau_s]$.

NTS: $[M]$ is constant on any interval, then M is constant as well.



For each rational $q \in \mathbb{Q}$, $\tau_q := \inf\{t \geq 0 : [M]_t \geq q\}$ $[q, \tau_q]$.

(*) let $A \in \mathcal{F}_s$, if $P(A) \neq 0$, define the prob. measure $P_A(\cdot) = P(\cdot | A)$

\Rightarrow (Tower) $E_P[\exp(i\theta(X_t - X_s)) | \mathcal{F}_s] = E_{P_A}[\exp(i\theta(X_t - X_s))]$
 \Rightarrow Law of $X_t - X_s$ under P_A is the same as that under P . Hence, $\forall f: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ Borel measurable:
 $E[\mathbb{1}_A \cdot f(X_t - X_s)] = P(A) \cdot E[f(X_t - X_s)]$
 $\Rightarrow X_t - X_s \perp \mathcal{F}_s$

LECTURE 17

Proof: (Dubins-Schwarz)

Working on step 2, which is the continuity of B .
Need to prove that if $[M]_t$ is constant on a given interval, then M is constant on the same interval. By localisation, WLOG, $M \in \mathcal{M}_c^2$. Suppose that $q \in \mathbb{R}, q > 0$, and let $S_q = \inf\{t > 0: [M]_t > [M]_0\}$. Suffices to show that M is a.s. constant on each $[0, S_q]$.

We know that $M^2 - [M]$ is a UI since $M \in \mathcal{M}_c^2$.

By OST, we have that:

$$0 \otimes \mathbb{E}[M_{S_q}^2 - [M]_{S_q} | \mathcal{F}_0] = M_0^2 - [M]_0$$

Since $M \in \mathcal{M}_c^2$, we also have that:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(MG orthog)} \quad \mathbb{E}[(M_{S_q} - M_0)^2 | \mathcal{F}_0] &= \mathbb{E}[M_{S_q}^2 - M_0^2 | \mathcal{F}_0] \\ \text{(*)} \quad &= \mathbb{E}[[M]_{S_q} - [M]_0 | \mathcal{F}_0] = 0 \text{ since } [M]_{S_q} = [M]_0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $M_{S_q} - M_0 = 0$ a.s. $\Rightarrow M$ is a.s. constant on $[0, S_q]$. ($\forall t \geq 0: M_t \in \mathcal{M}_{S_q} = \mathbb{E}[M_{S_q} | \mathcal{F}_t] = \mathbb{E}[M_0 | \mathcal{F}_t] = M_0$ a.s.)

Step 3: B is a (G_s) -BM.

Fix $s > 0$. Then we know that $[M]_{\tau_s} = [M]_s = s$.

Therefore $M_{\tau_s} \in \mathcal{M}_c^2$. Since $\mathbb{E}[M_{\tau_s}] < \infty$.

Therefore $(M^2 - [M])_{\tau_s}$ is a UI MG. By OST,

for $0 \leq r \leq s < \infty$, we have that:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{i)} \quad \mathbb{E}[B_s | \mathcal{G}_r] &= \mathbb{E}[M_{\tau_s} | \mathcal{F}_{\tau_r}] = M_{\tau_r} = B_r \\ \text{ii)} \quad \mathbb{E}[B_s^2 - s | \mathcal{G}_r] &= \mathbb{E}[(M^2 - [M])_{\tau_s} | \mathcal{F}_{\tau_r}] \\ &= M_{\tau_r}^2 - [M]_{\tau_r} \\ &= B_r^2 - r \end{aligned}$$

Thus i) $\Rightarrow B \in \mathcal{M}_c$

ii) $\Rightarrow [B]_t = t$

$\Rightarrow B$ is a (G_s) -BM by the Levy characterisation \square

Dubins-Schwarz requires $[M]_{\infty} = \infty$. Extension to the case that $[M]_{\infty} < \infty$.

Theorem: $M \in \mathcal{M}_{c,loc}$, $M_0 = 0$. Let B be a BM which is independent of M . Set:

$$B_s = \begin{cases} M_{\tau_s}, & s < [M]_{\infty} \\ M_{\infty} + (B_s - M_{\tau_{\infty}}), & s \geq [M]_{\infty} \end{cases}$$

Then B is a standard BM and $M_t = B_{[M]_t}$ for all $t \geq 0$.

Examples: i) B is a standard BM, h deterministic, measurable in $L^2([0, \infty))$. Let

$$M_t = \int_0^t h(s) dB_s. \text{ Then } M_0 = 0, M \in \mathcal{M}_{c,loc}$$

$$\text{and } [M]_t = \int_0^t h^2(s) ds. \text{ Moreover, } M_{\infty} = B_{\int_0^{\infty} h^2(s) ds} \text{ (Dubins-Schwarz) } \cup N(0, \int_0^{\infty} h^2(s) ds)$$

ii) let $M \in \mathcal{M}_{c,loc}$. Then:

$$\{[M]_{\infty} < \infty\} = \{\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} M_t \text{ exists}\}$$

$$\{[M]_{\infty} = \infty\} = \{\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} M_t = -\infty, \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} M_t = \infty\}$$

(check!)

Exponential MBs: let $M \in \mathcal{M}_{c,loc}$, $M_0 = 0$. Set

$$\begin{aligned} Z_t &= \exp(M_t - \frac{1}{2}[M]_t). \text{ By Ito's formula,} \\ dZ_t &= Z_t(dM_t - \frac{1}{2}d[M]_t) + \frac{1}{2}Z_t d[M]_t \\ &= Z_t dM_t \Rightarrow Z \in \mathcal{M}_{c,loc}, Z_0 = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Definition: (Exponential MG) In the setting above, the process $E(M)_t = Z_t = \exp(M_t - [M]_t/2)$ is the stochastic exponential or exponential martingale associated with M .

Note that $E(M) \in \mathcal{M}_{c,loc}$, $dE(M)_t = E(M)_t dM_t$.

Proposition: let $M \in \mathcal{M}_{c,loc}$, $M_0 = 0$. If $[M]$ is ldd, then $E(M)$ is a UI MG.

Proposition: let $M \in \mathcal{M}_{c,loc}$, $M_0 = 0$. for all $\varepsilon, \delta > 0$, we have that $\mathbb{P}(\sup_{t \geq 0} M_t \geq \varepsilon, [M]_{\infty} \leq \delta) \leq e^{-\frac{\varepsilon^2}{2\delta}}$

Proof: fix $\varepsilon > 0$ and let $T = \inf\{t \geq 0: M_t \geq \varepsilon\}$.

Fix $\theta > 0$ and set $Z_t = E(\theta M^T)_t$

$$= \exp(\theta M_t^T - \frac{1}{2}\theta^2 [M]_t^T) \in \mathcal{M}_{c,loc}$$

Note that $|Z_t| \leq e^{\theta^2}$ for all $t \geq 0$. So Z is a ldd MG $\Rightarrow \mathbb{E}[Z_{\infty}] = Z_0 = 1$. For $\delta > 0$,

we have that

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{P}[\sup_{t \geq 0} M_t \geq \varepsilon, [M]_{\infty} \leq \delta] \\ &= \mathbb{P}[\sup_{t \geq 0} \theta M_t^T \geq \theta \varepsilon, [M]_{\infty} \leq \delta] \\ &\leq \mathbb{P}[\sup_{t \geq 0} Z_t \geq e^{\theta \varepsilon - \theta^2 \delta/2}]. \end{aligned}$$

(Markov's ineq.)

$$\leq \exp[-\theta \cdot \varepsilon + \theta^2 \delta/2].$$

Optimise over θ gives claimed bound. \square

Proof of previous proposition: Will show that $E(M)$ is bounded by an integrable random variable.

Note that:

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} E(M)_t \leq \exp[\sup_{t \geq 0} M_t] \text{ (since } [M]_t \geq 0)$$

MTS: RHS is integrable. Let $C > 0$ so that $[M]_{\infty} \leq C$. Then: $\mathbb{P}[\sup_{t \geq 0} M_t \geq \varepsilon] = \mathbb{P}[\sup_{t \geq 0} M_t \geq \varepsilon, [M]_{\infty} \leq C]$

$$\leq \exp[-\varepsilon^2/2C]$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathbb{E}[\exp[\sup_{t \geq 0} M_t]] = \int_0^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(\exp[\sup_{t \geq 0} M_t] \geq \lambda) d\lambda$$

$$= \int_0^{\infty} \mathbb{P}[\sup_{t \geq 0} M_t \geq \log \lambda] d\lambda$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{\log \lambda} + \int_1^{\infty} \exp[-(\log \lambda)^2/2C] d\lambda < \infty$$

$\Rightarrow E(M)$ is UI \square

LECTURE 18

Suppose that \mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q} are probability measures on (Ω, \mathcal{F}) . Say that \mathbb{Q} is absolutely continuous wrt \mathbb{P} denoted by $\mathbb{Q} \ll \mathbb{P}$ if for any $A \in \mathcal{F}$ with $\mathbb{P}[A] = 0 \Rightarrow \mathbb{Q}[A] = 0$.

Radon-Nikodym $\Rightarrow \mathbb{Q} \ll \mathbb{P} \Rightarrow \exists$ a random variable $Z \geq 0$ such that $\mathbb{Q}[A] = \mathbb{E}[Z \cdot 1_A]$ for all $A \in \mathcal{F}$.

Z is called the Radon-Nikodym derivative of \mathbb{Q} wrt \mathbb{P} and is denoted by $Z = d\mathbb{Q}/d\mathbb{P}$.

Example: Suppose that $X \sim N(\mu, 1)$, $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $Z = \exp\left[\mu X - \mu^2/2\right]$. Then $A \mapsto \mathbb{E}[Z \cdot 1_A]$ defines a probability measure \mathbb{Q} and under \mathbb{Q} , $X \sim N(\mu, 1)$.

The Girsanov theorem generalises this idea to the setting of semi-MGs, except instead of changing the mean we will change the semi-MG decomposition.

Theorem (Girsanov) $M \in \mathcal{M}_{c,loc}$, $M_0 = 0$, and assume that $Z = \mathcal{E}(M)$ is UI. Then we can construct a new probability measure $\mathbb{Q} \ll \mathbb{P}$ on (Ω, \mathcal{F}) by setting $\mathbb{P}[A] = \mathbb{E}[Z \cdot 1_A]$ $\forall A \in \mathcal{F}$. If $X \in \mathcal{M}_{c,loc}(\mathbb{P})$, then $X - [X, M] \in \mathcal{M}_{c,loc}(\mathbb{Q})$.

"Change of measure induces a change of drift".

Proof: Since Z is UI, denote that Z_{∞} exists and $Z_{\infty} \geq 0$ with $\mathbb{E}[Z_{\infty}] = 1 \Rightarrow \mathbb{P}$ defines a probability measure with $\mathbb{Q} \ll \mathbb{P}$. Suppose that $X \in \mathcal{M}_{c,loc}(\mathbb{P})$ and set $T_n := \inf\{t \geq 0 : |X_t - [X, M]_t| \geq n\}$. Since $X - [X, M]$ is continuous (starts from zero), we have that: $\mathbb{P}[T_n \rightarrow \infty] = 1 \Rightarrow \mathbb{Q}[T_n \rightarrow \infty] = 1$ (since $\mathbb{Q} \ll \mathbb{P}$). To prove that $Y = X - [X, M] \in \mathcal{M}_{c,loc}(\mathbb{Q})$, it suffices to show that $Y^{T_n} = X^{T_n} - [X^{T_n}, M] \in \mathcal{M}_c(\mathbb{P})$ $\forall n$. In what follows, write X/Y in place of X^{T_n}, Y^{T_n} .

$$\begin{aligned} d(Z_t Y_t) &\stackrel{IBP}{=} Y_t dZ_t + Z_t dY_t + dY_t dZ_t \quad (\text{IBP}) \\ &= Y_t dZ_t + Z_t dX_t - Z_t d[X, M]_t + dX_t dZ_t \\ &= Y_t dZ_t + Z_t dX_t \quad (dZ_t = Z_t dM_t) \end{aligned}$$

$\Rightarrow Z Y \in \mathcal{M}_{c,loc}(\mathbb{P})$.

Moreover, $\{Z_t : T \leq t\}$ is a stopping time τ is UI for each $t > 0$ (Ex. Sheet 1). Since Y is bounded, we also have that:

$\{Z_t Y_t : T \leq t\}$ is a stopping time τ is also UI $\Rightarrow Z Y \in \mathcal{M}_c(\mathbb{P})$. For each t , we have that $\mathbb{E}[Y_t - Y_s | \mathcal{F}_s] = \frac{1}{Z_s} \mathbb{E}[Z_t Y_t - Z_s Y_s | \mathcal{F}_s]$

(Tower property) $= \frac{1}{Z_s} \mathbb{E}[Z_t Y_t - Z_s Y_s | \mathcal{F}_s] = 0$

since $Z Y \in \mathcal{M}_c(\mathbb{P}) \Rightarrow Y \in \mathcal{M}_c(\mathbb{Q})$. □

Remark: The quadratic variation does not change when performing a change of measures (Ex. 34).

Corollary: Let B be a standard Brownian motion under \mathbb{P} , $M \in \mathcal{M}_{c,loc}$, $M_0 = 0$. Suppose that $Z = \mathcal{E}(M)$ is UI and $\mathbb{Q}(A) = \mathbb{E}[1_A Z_{\infty}]$ for all $A \in \mathcal{F}$. Then $\tilde{B} = B - [B, M]$ is a \mathbb{Q} -Brownian motion.

Proof: Since $\tilde{B} \in \mathcal{M}_{c,loc}(\mathbb{Q})$ by the Girsanov theorem, and $[B]_t = [B]_t = t$, it follows from the Levy characterisation that \tilde{B} is a \mathbb{Q} -Brownian motion. □

Example: Suppose that B is a \mathbb{P} -Brownian motion, $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$, $T > 0$, and let $M_t = \mu B_t^T$ so that $Z_t = \mathcal{E}(M)_t = \exp\left[\mu B_t^T - \mu^2 t/2\right]$. $\mathbb{Q}(A) = \mathbb{E}[Z_{\infty} \cdot 1_A] = \mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left[\mu B_T^T - \mu^2 T/2\right] \cdot 1_A\right]$ $\forall A \in \mathcal{F}$. Then under \mathbb{Q} , $B_t = \tilde{B}_t + \mu t$ for $t \in [0, T]$ and \tilde{B} is a \mathbb{Q} -Brownian motion.

Stochastic Differential Equations:

Let $M^{d \times m}(\mathbb{R})$ denote the space of $d \times m$ matrices with real entries. Suppose that $\sigma : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow M^{d \times m}(\mathbb{R})$ and $b : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ are measurable functions which are bounded on compact sets. Write $\sigma(x) = (\sigma_{ij}(x))$, $b(x) = (b_i(x))$. Consider: $dX_t = \sigma(X_t) dB_t + b(X_t) dt$ (*)

Equivalently, $dX_t^i = \sum_{j=1}^m \sigma_{ij}(X_t) dB_t^j + b_i(X_t) dt$

A solution to (*) consists of:

- A filtered probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}, \mathbb{P})$ where $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ satisfies the usual conditions.
 - An $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ -Brownian motion $B = (B^1, \dots, B^m) \in \mathbb{R}^m$.
 - An $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ -adapted, continuous process $X = (X^1, \dots, X^d)$ in \mathbb{R}^d such that $X_t = X_0 + \int_0^t \sigma(X_s) dB_s + \int_0^t b(X_s) ds$
- When in addition, $X_0 = x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we say that X is started from x .
- We say that an SDE has a weak solution if for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, there is a solution starting from x .
 - There is uniqueness in law if all solutions starting from each x have the same distribution.
 - There is pathwise uniqueness if when we fix $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}, \mathbb{P})$ and B then any two solutions X, X' with $X_0 = X'_0$ are indistinguishable, $\mathbb{P}(X_t = X'_t \forall t) = 1$.
 - We say that a solution started from x is a strong solution if X is adapted to the filtration generated by B .

LECTURE 19

Example: It is possible to have the existence of a weak solution and uniqueness in law without having pathwise uniqueness.

Suppose that β is a standard Brownian motion in \mathbb{R} with $\beta_0 = x$. Set $B_t = \int_0^t \text{sgn}(\beta_s) d\beta_s$, $\text{sgn}(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & x > 0 \\ -1 & x < 0 \\ 0 & x = 0 \end{cases}$

Note that $\text{sgn}(\beta_s)$ is measurable and bounded \Rightarrow integral is well-defined.

$$x + \int_0^t \text{sgn}(\beta_s) d\beta_s = x + \int_0^t (\text{sgn}(\beta_s))^2 d\beta_s = x + \int_0^t d\beta_s = \beta_t$$

$\Rightarrow \beta$ solves the SDE $\begin{cases} dX_t = \text{sgn}(X_t) dB_t \\ X_0 = x \end{cases}$

This SDE has a weak solution. By the Lévy characterisation, any solution to this SDE is a Brownian motion. [It is an M.Loc with quadratic variation = t] \Rightarrow we have uniqueness in law.

We do not have pathwise uniqueness.

To see this, take $x = 0$.

Claim: $\beta, -\beta$ are solutions. Proof: β is a solution.

$$-\beta_t = - \int_0^t \text{sgn}(\beta_s) d\beta_s = \int_0^t \text{sgn}(-\beta_s) d\beta_s + 2 \int_0^t \mathbb{1}_{\{\beta_s = 0\}} d\beta_s$$

The last term in RHS is an M.Loc, starts from 0, and has quadratic variation:

$$4 \int_0^t \mathbb{1}_{\{\beta_s = 0\}} ds = 0 \text{ a.s.}$$

[Its expectation is = 0 since $P[\beta_s = 0] = 0$

$\forall s > 0$ and apply Fubini's theorem.]

Therefore $\beta, -\beta$ are both solutions on the same probability space with the same Brownian motion. So we cannot have pathwise uniqueness.

Lipschitz coefficients:

Recall that for $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ open, $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ we say that f is Lipschitz if there exists $K < \infty$ so that $|f(x) - f(y)| \leq K|x - y|$ for all $x, y \in U$.

For $\dim \geq 1$ we equip $M^{d \times d}(\mathbb{R})$ with the Frobenius norm.

$A \in M^{d \times d}(\mathbb{R}), A = (a_{ij}), |A| = (\sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{j=1}^d a_{ij}^2)^{1/2}$. If $f: U \rightarrow M^{d \times d}(\mathbb{R})$,

say that f is Lipschitz if there exists $K < \infty$ so that $|f(x) - f(y)| \leq K|x - y| \forall x, y \in U$.

Theorem (Existence and uniqueness) Suppose that $\sigma: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow M^{d \times d}(\mathbb{R}), b: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ are Lipschitz. There is pathwise uniqueness for the SDE $dX_t = \sigma(X_t) dB_t + b(X_t) dt$. Moreover, for each filtered probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}, \mathbb{P})$ satisfying the usual conditions and each \mathbb{W} -Brownian motion $B, x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ there is a strong solution starting from x .

Proof is analogous to the existence/uniqueness for ODEs.

Theorem: Let (X, d) be a complete metric space.

a) Suppose that $F: X \rightarrow X$ is a contraction, i.e., $\exists r \in (0, 1)$ s.t. $d(F(x), F(y)) \leq r \cdot d(x, y)$

$\forall x, y \in X$. Then F has a unique fixed point.

b) Suppose that $F: X \rightarrow X$ and there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ so that F^n is a contraction. Then F has a unique fixed point.

Lemma: (Gronwall) Let $T > 0$ and $f: [0, T] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a bounded and measurable function. If there exist $a, b > 0$ s.t. $f(t) \leq a + b \int_0^t f(s) ds \forall t \in [0, T]$,

then $f(t) \leq a \cdot e^{bt} \forall t \in [0, T]$.

Proof: ESS.

Proof of existence and uniqueness: Will assume that $\dim = 1$. Let K be such that $|a(x) - a(y)| \leq K|x - y|, |b(x) - b(y)| \leq K|x - y| \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof of uniqueness: Suppose that X, X' are two solutions on the same probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}, \mathbb{P})$ and Brownian motion B .

WTS: $P(X_t = X'_t \forall t \geq 0) = 1$. Fix $M > 0$ and let $\tau = \inf \{t \geq 0: |X_t| \vee |X'_t| \geq M\}$.

Then: $X_{t \wedge \tau} = X_0 + \int_0^{t \wedge \tau} \sigma(X_s) dB_s + \int_0^{t \wedge \tau} b(X_s) ds$

$X'_{t \wedge \tau} = X_0 + \int_0^{t \wedge \tau} \sigma(X'_s) dB_s + \int_0^{t \wedge \tau} b(X'_s) ds$

Fix $T > 0$. If $t \in [0, T]$ we have that

$$E[(X_{t \wedge \tau} - X'_{t \wedge \tau})^2] \leq 2 \cdot E\left[\left(\int_0^{t \wedge \tau} (\sigma(X_s) - \sigma(X'_s)) dB_s\right)^2\right] + 2 \cdot E\left[\left(\int_0^{t \wedge \tau} (b(X_s) - b(X'_s)) ds\right)^2\right]$$

$$\leq 2 \cdot E\left[\int_0^{t \wedge \tau} (\sigma(X_s) - \sigma(X'_s))^2 ds\right] \text{ (Ito's isometry)}$$

$$+ 2 \cdot T \cdot E\left[\int_0^{t \wedge \tau} (b(X_s) - b(X'_s))^2 ds\right] \text{ (Cauchy-Schwarz)}$$

$$\leq 2 \cdot K^2 \cdot (1+T) \cdot E\left[\int_0^{t \wedge \tau} (X_s - X'_s)^2 ds\right]$$

$$\leq 2 \cdot K^2 \cdot (1+T) \cdot \int_0^t E[(X_{s \wedge \tau} - X'_{s \wedge \tau})^2] ds$$

let $f(t) = E[(X_{t \wedge \tau} - X'_{t \wedge \tau})^2]$. Then: $0 \leq f \leq 4 \cdot M^2$ and $f(t) \leq 2 \cdot K^2 \cdot (1+T) \int_0^t f(s) ds \forall t \in [0, T]$. Gronwall $\Rightarrow f(t) = 0 \forall t \in [0, T]$.

$\Rightarrow P[X_{t \wedge \tau} = X'_{t \wedge \tau} \forall t \in [0, T]] = 1$
 With arbitrary $\Rightarrow P[X_t = X'_t \forall t \geq 0] = 1$.
 Pathwise uniqueness.

LECTURE 20

Proof: (Existence and uniqueness of solutions)

Existence: Suppose that $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}, P)$ is a filtered probability space, B is an (\mathcal{F}_t) -Brownian motion, and $(\mathcal{F}_t^B)_{t \geq 0}$ the filtration generated by B (so that $\mathcal{F}_t^B \subseteq \mathcal{F}_t$).

Will use the contraction mapping theorem. Need to specify 1) the space, 2) the map.

For each $T > 0$, let $\mathcal{C}_T = \{ \text{continuous, adapted processes } X: [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \|X\|_T = \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |X_t| < \infty \}$

Proved before that \mathcal{C}_T is complete. Fix $x \in \mathbb{R}$, using that a, b are Lipschitz, we have that:

$$(1) |a(y)| = |a(y) - a(0) + a(0)| \leq |a(y) - a(0)| + |a(0)| \leq L|y| + |a(0)|$$

$$(2) |b(y)| \leq |b(0)| + K|y| \text{ for all } y \in \mathbb{R}. \text{ Fix } T > 0 \text{ and } X \in \mathcal{C}_T. \text{ Let } M_t = \int_0^t a(X_s) dB_s \text{ for } 0 \leq t \leq T. \text{ Then } [M]_T = \int_0^T a^2(X_s) ds. \text{ Thus}$$

$$(1) \Rightarrow E[M]_T \leq 2 \cdot T \cdot (|a(0)|^2 + L^2 \|X\|_T^2) < \infty$$

$$\Rightarrow M^T \in \mathcal{M}_c \text{ so Doob's inequality}$$

$$\Rightarrow E \left[\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left| \int_0^t a(X_s) dB_s \right|^2 \right] \leq 8 \cdot T \cdot (|a(0)|^2 + L^2 \|X\|_T^2)$$

$$\text{By (2), } E \left[\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left| \int_0^t b(X_s) ds \right|^2 \right] \leq T \cdot E \left[\int_0^T b^2(X_s) ds \right] \text{ (Cauchy-Schwarz)}$$

$$\leq 2T^2 (|b(0)|^2 + K^2 \|X\|_T^2) < \infty$$

The map F on \mathcal{C}_T defined by $X \mapsto F(X)$, $F(X)_t = x + \int_0^t a(X_s) dB_s + \int_0^t b(X_s) ds$

takes values in \mathcal{C}_T .

Suppose that $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}_T$. For $0 \leq t \leq T$, similar arguments

$$\|F(X) - F(Y)\|_t^2 \leq 4K^2 T \cdot (4+T) \int_0^t \|X - Y\|_s^2 ds$$

$$= C_T \int_0^t \|X - Y\|_s^2 ds$$

Iterate n times:

$$\|F^{(n)}(X) - F^{(n)}(Y)\|_T^2 \leq C_T^n \int_0^T \int_0^{t_1} \dots \int_0^{t_{n-1}} \|X - Y\|_{t_n}^2 dt_n \dots dt_1$$

$$\leq \frac{C_T^n T^n}{n!} \|X - Y\|_T^2 \quad (3)$$

Take n to be sufficiently large so that $C_T^n T^n / n! < 1$. Contraction mapping theorem \Rightarrow there exists a unique fixed point $X^{(C)} \in \mathcal{C}_T$ of f .

Pathwise uniqueness $\Rightarrow X_t^{(C)} = X_t^{(T')} \forall t \leq T \wedge T' \text{ a.s.}$

Define X by setting $X_t = X_t^{(N)}$ where $t \leq N$, $N \in \mathbb{N} \Rightarrow X$ is the pathwise unique solution to the SDE starting from x .

NTS: X is a strong solution, i.e. X is adapted to (\mathcal{F}_t^B) . Will prove that for each fixed T , $X^{(C)}$ is the limit of (\mathcal{F}_t^B) -processes. Define (Y^n) in \mathcal{C}_T by setting $Y_0^n = x$ and $Y^n = F(Y^{n-1})$ for each $n \geq 1$. Then Y^n is adapted to (\mathcal{F}_t^B) for each n . As $F^{(n)}(X) = X$.

For all $n \geq 1$ we have from (3) that:

$$\|X - Y^n\|_T^2 = \|F^{(n)}(X) - F^{(n)}(x)\|_T^2 \leq \frac{C_T^n T^n}{n!} \|X - x\|_T^2 \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Thus $Y^n \rightarrow X$ in \mathcal{C}_T as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

$\Rightarrow \exists$ subsequence (Y^{n_k}) so that $Y^{n_k} \rightarrow X$ uniformly in $[0, T]$ a.s. therefore (X_t^T) is the a.s. limit of (\mathcal{F}_t^B) -adapted processes and so is (\mathcal{F}_t^B) -adapted. Since $T > 0$ was arbitrary, we have that X is (\mathcal{F}_t^B) -adapted. \square

Remark: Proof \Rightarrow pathwise unique strong solution lies in \mathcal{C}_T for all $T > 0$.

Proposition: Under the hypotheses of the theorem, there is uniqueness in law for the SDE

$$dX_t = a(X_t) dB_t + b(X_t) dt.$$

Proof: Ex. Sheet 3.

Example: (Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process)

Fix $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and consider the SDE

$$dV_t = dB_t - \lambda V_t dt, V_0 = v_0$$

$$dX_t = V_t dt$$

For $\lambda > 0$, models the movement of a grain of pollen in liquid; X = position of the grain, V = velocity.

This term $-\lambda V$ dampens the system due to viscosity. $|\lambda|$ larger, the system moves to reduce $|V|$.

Theorem $\Rightarrow \exists!$ strong solution. Can explicitly solve $d(e^{\lambda t} V_t) = e^{\lambda t} dB_t + \lambda e^{\lambda t} V_t dt$

$$= e^{\lambda t} dB_t$$

$$\Rightarrow e^{\lambda t} V_t = v_0 + \int_0^t e^{\lambda s} dB_s$$

$$\Rightarrow V_t = e^{-\lambda t} v_0 + \int_0^t e^{-\lambda(t-s)} dB_s.$$

$$V_t \sim N \left(e^{-\lambda t} v_0, \frac{1 - e^{-2\lambda t}}{2\lambda} \right)$$

If $\lambda > 0$, $V_t \xrightarrow{d} N(0, (2\lambda)^{-1})$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

$\Rightarrow N(0, (2\lambda)^{-1})$ is the stationary distribution of V , i.e. if $V_0 \sim N(0, (2\lambda)^{-1})$ then

$$V_t \sim N(0, (2\lambda)^{-1}) \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

LECTURE 21

Local Solutions: $dX_t = \sigma(X_t) dB_t + b(X_t) dt$.

A locally defined process is a pair (X, τ) consisting of a stopping time τ together with a map $X: \{(\omega, t) \in \Omega \times [0, \infty) : t < \tau(\omega)\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. It is adapted if the map $t \mapsto X_t(\omega)$ from $[0, \tau(\omega)) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is adapted for all $\omega \in \Omega$. Let $\Omega_t = \{ \omega \in \Omega : t < \tau(\omega) \}$. Then (X, τ) is adapted if $X_t: \Omega_t \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is \mathcal{F}_t -measurable.

We say that (X, τ) is a locally defined MG if there exist stopping times $T_n \uparrow \tau$ so that X^{T_n} is a MG for all n . We say that (H, η) is a locally defined locally bounded previsible process if there exist stopping times $S_n \uparrow \eta$ such that $H \cdot \mathbb{1}_{[0, S_n]}$ is bounded and previsible $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$.

We define $(H \cdot X, \tau \wedge \eta)$ by setting $(H \cdot X)_t^{\tau \wedge \eta} = (H \cdot \mathbb{1}_{[0, S_n \wedge T_n]} \cdot X^{S_n \wedge T_n})_t$ for each n .

Proposition: (Local Ito's formula) Let X^1, \dots, X^d be cont. semimartingales, let $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be open, and let $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be C^2 . Let $X = (X^1, \dots, X^d)$ and set $\tau = \inf \{ t \geq 0 : X_t \notin U \}$. Then for all $t < \tau$ we have that

$$f(X_t) = f(X_0) + \sum_{i=1}^d \int_0^t \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(X_s) dX_s^i + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^d \int_0^t \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(X_s) d\langle X^i, X^j \rangle_s$$

Proof: Apply Ito's formula to X^{T_n} where $T_n = \inf \{ t \geq 0 : \text{dist}(X_t, U^c) \leq 1/n \}$ and note that $T_n \uparrow \tau$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. \square

Example: let $X = B$ where B is a standard Brownian motion with $X_0 = B_0 = 1, U = (0, \infty), f(x) = \sqrt{x}$. Then $\sqrt{B_t} = 1 + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t B_s^{-1/2} dB_s - \frac{1}{8} \int_0^t B_s^{-3/2} ds$ for all $t < \tau = \inf \{ t \geq 0 : B_t = 0 \}$.

Let $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be open, $\sigma: U \rightarrow M^{d \times m}(\mathbb{R}), b: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ be measurable functions which are bounded on compact subsets of U .

A local solution to the SDE

$$dX_t = \sigma(X_t) dB_t + b(X_t) dt$$

- consists of:
- A filtered probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}, \mathbb{P})$ satisfying the usual conditions.
 - An (\mathcal{F}_t) -Brownian motion B in \mathbb{R}^m .
 - A continuous (\mathcal{F}_t) -adapted locally defined process (X, τ) with $X \in \mathbb{R}^d$ such that:

$$X_t = X_0 + \int_0^t \sigma(X_s) dB_s + \int_0^t b(X_s) ds$$

for all $t < \tau$.

We say that (X, τ) is a maximal local solution if for any other local solution (Y, η) on the same space such that $X_t = Y_t$ for all $t \leq \tau \wedge \eta$ we have that $\eta \leq \tau$.

Locally Lipschitz coefficients Suppose that $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ is open. Then a function $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ is locally Lipschitz if for each $C \subseteq U$ compact we have that $f|_C$ is Lipschitz.

Theorem: Suppose $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ is open and $\sigma: U \rightarrow M^{d \times m}(\mathbb{R}), b: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ are locally Lipschitz. Then for all $x \in U$, the PDE $dX_t = \sigma(X_t) dB_t + b(X_t) dt$ has a pathwise unique maximal local solution (X, τ) starting from x . Moreover, for all compact sets $C \subseteq U$, on the event that $\tau < \infty$ we have that $\sup \{ t < \tau : X_t \in C \} < \tau$.

Lemma: Let $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be open, $C \subseteq U$ be compact. Then: i) there exists a C^∞ function $\varphi: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\varphi|_C \equiv 1$ and $\varphi|_{U^c} \equiv 0$. ii) Given a locally Lipschitz function $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$, then there exists a globally Lipschitz function $g: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ such that $f|_C = g|_C$.

Proof: i) Exercise ii) φ as in i) and set $g = f \cdot \varphi$. \square

Proof: (theorem) Assume that $d = m = 1$. Fix $C \subseteq U$ be compact. By the lemma, we can find Lipschitz functions $\tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{b}$ on \mathbb{R} such that $\tilde{\sigma}|_C = \sigma|_C, \tilde{b}|_C = b|_C$. There exists a pathwise unique strong solution \tilde{X} to: $\begin{cases} d\tilde{X}_t = \tilde{\sigma}(\tilde{X}_t) dB_t + \tilde{b}(\tilde{X}_t) dt \\ \tilde{X}_0 = x \end{cases}$

Let $T = \inf \{ t \geq 0 : \tilde{X}_t \notin C \}$ and let $X = \tilde{X}|_{[0, T]}$. Then (X, T) is a local solution in C . (check).

If $T = \infty$, then $X_T = \lim X_t$ exists and is in $U \setminus C$. Suppose that $S^{\uparrow \uparrow} (X, T), (Y, S)$ are both local solutions in C . Let:

$$f(t) = \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{0 \leq s \leq S \wedge T} |X_s - Y_s|^2 \right]$$

As b, σ are Lipschitz on C , we can use Gronwall's lemma as before to see that $f \equiv 0 \Rightarrow X_t = Y_t \forall t \leq S \wedge T$ a.s.

Let (C_n) be a sequence of compact sets in U with $C_n \subseteq C_{n+1}$ for all $n, U = \cup_n C_n$. Let (X^n, T_n) be the local solution constructed above $C = C_n$. If $T_n < \infty, X_{T_n}^n \in U \setminus C_n$. Since they are non-decreasing, we have $T_n \uparrow \tau, \tau = \sup_n T_n$. (*)

Define the local solution by setting $X_t = X_t^n$ for all $t < T_n$. Consistent by the above.

MTS: (X, τ) is maximal.

(*) On $\inf \{ t \geq 0 : X_t^{n+1} \notin C_n \} \wedge T_n := S_n, X_{t \wedge S_n}^{n+1} = X_{t \wedge S_n}^n$ a.s. $\forall t \leq S_n$. (Cronwall-type argument). Suppose for a contradiction that $\bar{T}_n < T_n$. Then the above $\Rightarrow X_{t \wedge \bar{T}_n}^{n+1} = X_{t \wedge \bar{T}_n}^n$ a.s. $\forall t \leq \bar{T}_n$, giving $U \setminus C_n \ni X_{\bar{T}_n}^{n+1} = X_{\bar{T}_n}^n \in C_n$. Hence $T_n \leq \bar{T}_n \leq T_{n+1} \Rightarrow (T_n)$ is increasing.

LECTURE 22

Proof: left to show ① maximality, ② $\sup \{t < \tau : X_t \in C\} < \tau$ on $\{\tau < \infty\}$.
 Suppose that (Y, γ) is another solution on the same probability space. For each n , set $S_n = \inf \{t \leq \eta : Y_t \notin C_n\} \wedge \eta$. By the uniqueness of the solution in each C_n , we have that $X_t = Y_t \forall t \in S_n \cap T_n$
 $\Rightarrow S_m \leq T_n$. As $n \rightarrow \infty$, $S_n \uparrow \eta$, $T_n \uparrow \tau$
 $\Rightarrow \eta = \tau \Rightarrow X_t = Y_t \forall t \leq \eta$.
 Therefore (X, τ) is maximal.

Suppose that C_1, C_2 are compact sets in U with $C_1 \subseteq C_2^o \subseteq C_2 \subseteq U$. Let $\varphi: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a C^∞ function with $\varphi|_{C_1} = 1, \varphi|_{C_2^c} = 0$.

let $\rho_0 = \inf \{t \geq 0 : X_t \notin C_2\}$
 $S_n = \inf \{t \geq \rho_{n-1} : X_t \in C_1\} \wedge \tau$
 $\rho_n = \inf \{t \geq S_n : X_t \notin C_2\} \wedge \tau$

let N be the # of crossings that X makes from C_2 to C_1 .
 On $\{T \leq t, N \geq n\}$,
 we have that:



$$\sum_{k=1}^n (\varphi(X_{\rho_k}) - \varphi(X_{S_k})) = -n$$

$$= \int_0^t \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{1}_{[S_k, \rho_k]}(s) \cdot (\varphi'(X_s) dX_s + \frac{1}{2} \varphi''(X_s) d\langle X \rangle_s)$$

$$= \int_0^t H_s^n dB_s + K_s^n ds =: Z_t^n$$

where H^n, K^n are predictable and bounded uniformly in n . then:
 $\mathbb{P}[T \leq t, N \geq n] \leq \frac{1}{n^2} \mathbb{E}[(Z_t^n)^2]$
 $\Rightarrow \mathbb{P}[T \leq t, N \geq n] \leq \frac{1}{n^2} \mathbb{E}[(Z_t^n)^2]$
 Since H^n, K^n are uniformly bounded and Z_t^n is defined by integrating H^n, K^n over a time-interval which does not depend on n .
 We have that $\mathbb{E}[(Z_t^n)^2] \leq C$ where C does not depend on n .
 $\Rightarrow \mathbb{P}[T \leq t, N \geq n] \leq C/n^2$
 $n \rightarrow \infty \Rightarrow \mathbb{P}[T \leq t, N = \infty] = 0$
 $t \rightarrow \infty \Rightarrow \mathbb{P}[T < \infty, N = \infty] = 0$
 \Rightarrow # crossings that X makes from C_2 to C_1 is $< \infty$ on $\{T < \infty\}$ a.s.

Since each crossing that X makes from C_1 to C_2 a.s. takes a positive amount of time. by continuity.
 $\Rightarrow \{ \sup \{t < \tau : X_t \in C_1\} < \tau \text{ on } \{T < \infty\} \}$
 $(C_1 \subset\subset U)$

Example: (Bessel processes) Fix $\nu \in \mathbb{R}$ and consider the SDE in $U = (0, \infty)$ given by:
 $dX_t = dB_t + \frac{\nu-1}{2X_t} dt, X_0 = x_0 \in U$

then $\Rightarrow \exists!$ maximal local solution (X, τ) in U and $\tau = \inf \{t \geq 0 : X_t = 0\}$.
 (X, τ) is a Bessel process of dimension ν .

Suppose that $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$, B is a Brownian motion in \mathbb{R}^d with $|B_0| = x_0 > 0$. Set $X_t = |B_t|$ and $\tau = \inf \{t \geq 0 : B_t = 0\}$.
 By the local Ito formula, we have that
 $dX_t = \frac{(dB_t, dB_t)}{|B_t|} + \frac{\nu-1}{2|B_t|} dt, t < \tau$

where $(\cdot, \cdot) =$ Euclidean inner product.
 Then the process $W_t = \int_0^t \frac{(B_s, dB_s)}{|B_s|}$ is in \mathcal{M}_{loc} .
 Moreover,
 $d\langle W \rangle_t = \frac{1}{|B_t|^2} \sum_{i,j=1}^d B_t^i B_t^j dt \delta_{ij} = dt$

Lévy characterization $\Rightarrow W$ is a standard BM.
 $dX_t = dW_t + \frac{\nu-1}{2X_t} dt, t < \tau$.

A Bessel process of dimension ν describes the time evolution of the norm of a ν -dim. Brownian motion up to when it first hits 0.

Diffusion processes: Suppose that a $\mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $b: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ are bounded, measurable, a is symmetric (i.e. $a(x)$ is symmetric for each x).
 For $f \in C_b^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ [C_b^2 with odd derivatives], set $Lf(x) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^d a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} + \sum_{i=1}^d b_i(x) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}$.

Let X be continuous, adapted process in \mathbb{R}^d . Say that X is an L -diffusion if for all $f \in C_b^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ we have that:
 $M_t^f := f(X_t) - f(X_0) - \int_0^t Lf(X_s) ds$ is a MG.

(coefficient a is called the diffusion, and b is the drift).

Example: a, b constant and $a = \sigma \sigma^T$. Φ standard BM on \mathbb{R}^d . Then $X_t = \sigma B_t + bt$ is an (a, b) -diffusion.
 $\sigma = I, b = 0, X_t = B_t$ is an L -diffusion where $L = \frac{1}{2} \Delta$.

Proposition: Suppose that X solves $dX_t = \sigma(X_t) dB_t + b(X_t) dt$
 let $f \in C_b^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ [bounded derivatives, C^1 in the first variable, C^2 in the second variable].
 Then, $M_t^f = f(X_t) - f(X_0) - \int_0^t (\frac{\partial}{\partial s} + L)f(s, X_s) ds$ is in \mathcal{M}_{loc} , $a = \sigma \sigma^T$ and L is as above.
 If a, b are bounded, then X is an L -diffusion.

Proof: Ito's formula.

(*) Suppose for a contradiction $T_n < S_n \Rightarrow C_n \ni X_{T_n} = X_{T_n} \in U \setminus C_n^o$

LECTURE 23

Question: Which a can be written as $\sigma\sigma^T$ for such σ ? (See proposition from last time).

Suppose that a, b are Lipschitz, bounded, and there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ so that:

$$(a(x)\xi, \xi) \geq \varepsilon|\xi|^2 \quad \forall x, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Then a is uniformly positive definite (UPD). Then there exists $\sigma: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow M^{d \times d}(\mathbb{R})$ with $\sigma\sigma^T = a$.

For $d=1$, take $\sigma = \sqrt{a}$.

For $d \geq 2$, we can write $a(x) = u(x)\lambda(x)u^T(x)$ where $\lambda(x)$ is the diagonal matrix of evals and $u(x)$ the orthogonal matrix whose columns are eigenvectors of $u(x)$.

Take: $\sigma(x) = u(x)\sqrt{\lambda(x)}u^T(x)$.

That σ is Lipschitz follows from the differentiability of the square root map on the set of UPD matrices.

For such σ, b , the SDE

$$dX_t = \sigma(X_t)dB_t + b(X_t)dt$$

has a unique strong solution which is an (a, b) -diffusion.

Proposition: Let X be an L -diffusion and T_n a finite stopping time. Set

$\tilde{X}_t = X_{T+t}$ and $\tilde{B}_t = B_{T+t}$. Then \tilde{X} is an L -diffusion w.r.t. $(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_t)_{t \geq 0}$.

Proof: Fix $f \in C_b^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Consider the process

$$\tilde{M}_t^f := f(\tilde{X}_t) - f(\tilde{X}_0) - \int_0^t Lf(\tilde{X}_s)ds$$

\tilde{M}^f is adapted to $(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_t)$ and is integrable for $A \in \mathcal{F}_3$ and $n \geq 0$ we have that

$$\mathbb{E}[(\tilde{M}_t^f - \tilde{M}_0^f) \cdot \mathbb{1}_{A \cap \{T \leq n\}}]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}[(M_{t+T}^f - M_{s+T}^f) \cdot \mathbb{1}_{A \cap \{T \leq n\}}]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}[(M_{t+T+n}^f - M_{s+T+n}^f) \cdot \mathbb{1}_{A \cap \{T \leq n\}}]$$

$$= 0 \quad (\text{OST}) \quad \mathbb{1}_{A \cap \{T \leq n\}} \in \mathcal{F}_{T+n+s}.$$

Sending $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$\Rightarrow \mathbb{E}[(\tilde{M}_t^f - \tilde{M}_0^f) \cdot \mathbb{1}_A] = 0 \quad (\text{DCT})$$

$$\Rightarrow \tilde{M}^f \text{ is an } (\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_t) - \text{MG.}$$

Lemma: Let X be an L -diffusion. Then for all $f \in C_b^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ the process

$M_t^f = f(t, X_t) - f(0, X_0) - \int_0^t (\partial_s f + L)f(s, X_s)ds$ is a MG.

Proof: Fix $T > 0$ and consider

$$Z_n = \sup_{0 \leq s < t \leq T, t-s \leq 1/n} |f(s, X_t) - f(s, X_s)|$$

$$+ \sup_{0 \leq s < t \leq T, t-s \leq 1/n} |Lf(s, X_t) - Lf(t, X_t)|$$

Then Z_n is bounded and

$$Z_n \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty \text{ by continuity}$$

and the bounded convergence theorem \Rightarrow

$$\mathbb{E}[Z_n] \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

$$M_t^f - M_s^f = (f(t, X_t) - f(s, X_t) - \int_s^t f(r, X_t)dr) + (f(s, X_t) - f(s, X_s) - \int_s^t Lf(s, X_r)dr) + (\int_s^t (f(r, X_t) - f(r, X_r))dr) + (\int_s^t (Lf(s, X_r) - Lf(r, X_r))dr)$$

Choose $s_0 < s_1 < \dots < s_n$ with $s_0 = s, s_n = t$.

$s_{k+1} - s_k \leq 1/n$ for each k .

- First line = 0 by the FTC.

- Second line has $\mathbb{E}[\dots | \mathcal{F}_s] = 0$

(X is an L -diffusion).

- For the last two lines, we have that

$$\mathbb{E}[|\mathbb{E}[M_{s_{k+1}}^f - M_{s_k}^f | \mathcal{F}_{s_k}] |] \leq (s_{k+1} - s_k) \cdot \mathbb{E} Z_n$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathbb{E}[|\mathbb{E}[M_t^f - M_s^f | \mathcal{F}_s] |] \leq (t-s) \cdot \mathbb{E} Z_n$$

$$\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathbb{E}[M_t^f | \mathcal{F}_s] = M_s^f \quad \square$$

Dirichlet and Cauchy Problem

Assume that a, b Lipschitz, a UPD $(a(x)\xi, \xi) \geq \varepsilon|\xi|^2 \quad \forall x, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Let $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be a bounded, open domain with smooth boundary.

We will assume the following theorem:

Theorem (Dirichlet problem) For all $f \in C(\partial D)$, $\varphi \in C(\bar{D})$, there exists a unique function

$u \in C(\bar{D}) \cap C^2(D)$ such that:

$$\begin{cases} Lu + \varphi = 0 & \text{in } D \\ u = f & \text{on } \partial D. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, there exist continuous functions

$m: D \times \partial D \rightarrow (0, \infty)$, $g: \{ (x, y) \in D \times \partial D : x \neq y \} \rightarrow (0, \infty)$

such that for all f, φ as above, we have that

$$u(x) = \int_D g(x, y) \varphi(y) dy + \int_{\partial D} f(y) m(x, y) \lambda(dy)$$

g = Green kernel, $m(x, y) \lambda(dy)$ harmonic measure on ∂D as seen from x .

Theorem: Suppose that $u \in C(\bar{D}) \cap C^2(D)$ satisfies

$$\begin{cases} Lu + \varphi = 0 & \text{in } D \\ u = f & \text{on } \partial D \end{cases}$$

with $f \in C(\partial D)$, $\varphi \in C(\bar{D})$. Then for any

L -diffusion X starting from $x \in D$ we have

that $u(x) = \mathbb{E}_x [\int_0^T \varphi(X_s) ds + f(X_T)]$ where

$T = \inf \{ t \geq 0 : X_t \notin D \}$. Moreover for all

Borel sets $A \subseteq D$, $B \subseteq \partial D$. Then

$$\mathbb{E}_x [\int_0^T \mathbb{1}(X_s \in A) ds] = \int_A g(x, y) dy$$

$$\mathbb{P}_x [X_T \in B] = \int_B m(x, y) \lambda(dy).$$

LECTURE 24

Proof: Fix $n \geq 1$ and let $T_n = \inf \{t \geq 0 : X_t \notin D_n\}$ where $D_n = \{x \in D : \text{dist}(x, D^c) > 1/n\}$.

Consider $M_t = u(X_{t \wedge T_n}) - u(X_0) + \int_0^{t \wedge T_n} \varphi(X_s) ds$

There exists $\tilde{u} \in C_b^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $u = \tilde{u}$ on D_n .

Then $M = \tilde{M}_{T_n}$ where:

$$\tilde{M}_t = \tilde{u}(X_t) - \tilde{u}(X_0) - \int_0^t L\tilde{u}(X_s) ds$$

Since X is an L -diffusion, \tilde{M} is a MG.

OST $\Rightarrow M$ is a MG.

$$\Rightarrow u(x) = \mathbb{E}_x \left[u(X_{t \wedge T_n}) + \int_0^{t \wedge T_n} \varphi(X_s) ds \right] \quad (*)$$

Want to send $n \rightarrow \infty$. First will show

$$\mathbb{E}_x [T] < \infty.$$

Take $\varphi \equiv 1, f \equiv 0$, let $u^{1,0}$ be the solution of the associated Dirichlet problem. Then

$(*)$ holds for $u^{1,0}$, so:

$$\mathbb{E}_x [T_n \wedge t] = u^{1,0}(x) - \mathbb{E}_x [u^{1,0}(X_{t \wedge T_n})]$$

Since $u^{1,0}$ is bounded ($\in C^0(\bar{D})$), $T_n \uparrow T$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, MCT $\Rightarrow \mathbb{E}_x [T] < \infty$ ($n \rightarrow \infty, t \rightarrow \infty$).

Now return to the general case in $(*)$.

Have that $T_n \uparrow T$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Since u is continuous on \bar{D} ,

$$u(X_{t \wedge T_n}) \rightarrow f(X_t) \text{ as } n, t \rightarrow \infty.$$

Since u is bounded on \bar{D} (\bar{D} compact, u continuous), bounded convergence theorem $\Rightarrow \mathbb{E}_x [u(X_{t \wedge T_n})] \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_x [f(X_t)]$

as $t, n \rightarrow \infty$. Moreover,

$$\mathbb{E}_x \left[\int_0^t |\varphi(X_s)| ds \right] \leq \|\varphi\|_\infty \cdot \mathbb{E}_x [T] < \infty.$$

$$\text{DCT} \Rightarrow \mathbb{E}_x \left[\int_0^{t \wedge T_n} \varphi(X_s) ds \right] \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_x \left[\int_0^t \varphi(X_s) ds \right]$$

$$\text{Thus, } u(x) = \mathbb{E}_x \left[f(X_t) + \int_0^t \varphi(X_s) ds \right].$$

Final assertions follow by taking limits as $\varphi_n \rightarrow \mathbb{1}_A, f \equiv 0$ and $\varphi_n \rightarrow \mathbb{1}_B, \varphi \equiv 0$. \square

Cauchy Problem:

Theorem: For each $f \in C_b^2$, there exists a unique solution $u \in C_b^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ such that:

$$\begin{cases} \partial u / \partial t = Lu \text{ on } \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^d \\ u(0, \cdot) = f \text{ on } \mathbb{R}^d \end{cases}$$

Moreover, there exists a continuous function

$\rho : (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ such that

$$u(t, x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \underbrace{\rho(t, x, y)}_{\text{"heat kernel"}} f(y) dy \quad \forall (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Theorem: Assume that $f \in C_b^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Let u satisfy

$$\begin{cases} \partial u / \partial t = Lu \text{ on } \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^d \\ u(0, \cdot) = f \text{ on } \mathbb{R}^d \end{cases}$$

Then for any L -diffusion X starting from x , for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+, 0 \leq s \leq t$ we have that

$$\mathbb{E}_x [f(X_t) | \mathcal{F}_s] = u(t-s, X_s) \quad \text{a.s.}$$

In particular, $\mathbb{E}_x [f(X_t)] = u(t, x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho(t, x, y) f(y) dy$

Finally, under \mathbb{P}_x , the finite dimensional distributions of X are given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}_x [X_{t_1} \in dx_1, \dots, X_{t_n} \in dx_n] \\ = \rho(t_1, x_0, x_1) \times \dots \times \rho(t_n - t_{n-1}, x_{n-1}, x_n) dx_1 \dots dx_n \\ 0 < t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_n < \infty, x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathbb{R}^d, x_0 = x. \end{aligned}$$

Proof: Fix $t \in (0, \infty)$. Consider $g(s, x) = u(t-s, x) \leq t, x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Note that $(\partial/\partial s + L)g(s, x) = -u(t-s, x) + Lu(t-s, x) = 0$.

$\Rightarrow M_s^g = g(s, X_t) - g(0, X_0) - \int_0^s (\partial/\partial s + L)g(s, X_s) ds = 0$
 $= g(s, X_t) - g(0, X_0)$ is a MG for $s \in [0, t)$ by extending g to $\tilde{g} \in C_b^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ appropriately. Hence, $\forall 0 < s < t' < t$:

$$\mathbb{E}[M_{t'}^g | \mathcal{F}_s] = M_s^g \text{ a.s.} \Rightarrow \mathbb{E}_x [M_{t'}^g] = \mathbb{E}_x [M_0^g] \quad \forall t' \in [0, t)$$

$\Rightarrow \mathbb{E}_x [u(t-t', X_{t'})] = u(t, x)$. Now, as $t' \uparrow t$, by continuity, $u(t-t', X_{t'}) \rightarrow f(X_t) \Rightarrow \mathbb{E}_x [f(X_t)] = u(t, x)$.

Second part of the theorem. Set

$$\mathbb{P}_t f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho(t, x, y) f(y) dy = u(t, x)$$

Uniqueness of solutions to Cauchy problem

$$\Rightarrow \mathbb{P}_s (\mathbb{P}_t f) = \mathbb{P}_{s+t} f$$

Claim (by induction):

$$\mathbb{E}_x \left[\prod_{i=1}^n f_i(X_{t_i}) \right] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho(t_1, x_0, x_1) f_1(x_1) \dots \rho(t_n - t_{n-1}, x_{n-1}, x_n) f_n(x_n) dx_1 \dots dx_n.$$

For induction, we use that:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_{x_0} \left[\prod_{i=1}^n f_i(X_{t_i}) \mid \mathcal{F}_{t_{n-1}} \right] \\ = \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} f_i(X_{t_i}) \mathbb{E}_x [f_n(X_{t_n}) \mid \mathcal{F}_{t_{n-1}}] \\ = \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} f_i(X_{t_i}) \mathbb{P}_{t_n - t_{n-1}} f(X_{t_n - t_{n-1}}) \end{aligned}$$

Now apply the case $n-1$. \square